On Input-to-State Stability of Switched Stochastic Nonlinear Systems Under Extended Asynchronous Switching

Yu Kang, Senior Member, IEEE, Di-Hua Zhai, Guo-Ping Liu, Fellow, IEEE, and Yun-Bo Zhao, Senior Member, IEEE

Abstract—An extended asynchronous switching model is investigated for a class of switched stochastic nonlinear retarded systems in the presence of both detection delay and false alarm, where the extended asynchronous switching is described by two independent and exponentially distributed stochastic processes, and further simplified as Markovian. Based on the Razumikhintype theorem incorporated with average dwell-time approach, the sufficient criteria for global asymptotic stability in probability and stochastic input-to-state stability are given, whose importance and effectiveness are finally verified by numerical examples.

Index Terms—Extended asynchronous switching, input-to-state stability, Markovian theory, Razumikhin-type theorem, switched stochastic nonlinear retarded systems (SSNLRS).

I. INTRODUCTION

S WITCHED systems has been a topic of increasing interest in recent years [1]–[3]. Informally, a switched system is a family of dynamical subsystems with a switching rule governing the switching between them. Such models are used to describe many physical and man-made systems with jumping parameters or changing environmental factors [4]–[6]. During the last decades, the control of switched system has received considerable attentions [7]–[14].

Manuscript received November 25, 2014; revised February 26, 2015; accepted April 9, 2015. Date of publication June 9, 2015; date of current version April 13, 2016. This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant 61422307, Grant 61304048, and Grant 61174061, in part by the Scientific Research Staring Foundation for the Returned Overseas Chinese Scholars, Ministry of Education of China, in part by the National High-Tech Research and Development Program of China (863 Program) under Grant 2014AA06A503, in part by the Youth Innovation Promotion Association, Chinese Academy of Sciences, in part by the Youth Top-Notch Talent Support Program, and in part by the 1000-Talent Youth Program. This paper was recommended by Associate Editor P. Shi. (*Corresponding author: Yu Kang.*)

Y. Kang is with the Department of Automation, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230027, China, and with the Key Laboratory of Technology in Geo-Spatial Information Processing and Application System, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China, and also with the State Key Laboratory of Fire Science, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230027, China (e-mail: kangduyu@ustc.edu.cn).

D.-H. Zhai is with the School of Automation, Key Laboratory of Intelligent Control and Decision of Complex Systems, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, China.

G.-P. Liu is with the School of Engineering, University of South Wales, Cardiff CF37 1DL, U.K., and also with CTGT Centre, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, China.

Y.-B. Zhao is with the Department of Automation, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310023, China.

Color versions of one or more of the figures in this paper are available online at http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TCYB.2015.2423553

Recently, the stability problem at the boundary of switched systems and time delay systems has received much attention [15]-[22]. To design the mode-dependent controller for a practical switched system, one should get the switching signal of the plant. However, due to unknown abrupt phenomena such as component and interconnection failures, delays in the detection of the switching signal have to be considered, thus, enabling the so-called conventional asynchronous switching [19]. Roughly speaking, the "conventional asynchronous switching" is caused by the detection delay of switching signal which results in the mismatched period of designed controller in each subsystem and then deteriorates the system performance and then will deteriorate the system performance. This marks the importance of the stability analysis and control synthesis under asynchronous switching. Many efforts have been made, for example, state feedback stabilization, input-to-state stabilization, output feedback stabilization, fault-tolerant control, asynchronous filtering, etc. [15]-[18], [23]-[26], just to name a few. However, only the detection delay is considered in these works. In reality, the existence of environmental noises, disturbances, and small modeling uncertainties means that false alarm (or detection error) is inevitable, which fails existing results for asynchronous switching with only detection delays. In what follows the control for a class of new asynchronous switching system with simultaneous considering the detection delays and the false alarms will be considered. To distinguish it from the conventional asynchronous switching, it is called the extended asynchronous switching system. Compared to the conventional asynchronous switching, the developed extended asynchronous switching in this paper can better reflect the actual situation in practical switched system control. In addition, it was shown in [27] that the nonzero detection delay can make a closed-loop system unstable. Therefore, the existence of false alarm will inevitably further decrease the control performance. Thus, the so-called extended asynchronous switching justifies its importance. However, the coupled relationship between the true switching signal and the random detection as well as the false alarm also increase the complexity and difficulty of stability analysis for such system. Moreover, to date switched stochastic nonlinear retarded systems (SSNLRS) under extended asynchronous switching have received little attention. All those motivate this paper.

2168-2267 © 2015 IEEE. Personal use is permitted, but republication/redistribution requires IEEE permission. See http://www.ieee.org/publications_standards/publications/rights/index.html for more information.

To overcome the challenges faced and the limitations found in the literature, this paper investigates the stochastic input-to-state stability (SISS) for a class of SSNLRS under extended asynchronous switching. First, an extended asynchronous switching model is developed to describe the more realistic situation of switching signal detection in the presence of both random detection delay and false alarm. Given that the existence of various noises and uncertainties in practical control, the detection delay and false alarm in practice are essentially uncertain and random. To describe such phenomena, two stochastic processes are needed [28], [29]. As described in [28], we define the detected switching signal conditionally on the true one, and use two independent exponentially distributed stochastic processes to describe the detection delay and the false alarm, respectively. Then a practical model in which the Markovian theory is used to describe the probability characteristic of the extended asynchronous switching phenomenon. And the Razumikhin-type stability criteria based on average dwell-time approach are developed for the proposed extended asynchronous switching system. Finally, the importance and effectiveness are validated by the simulation studies.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. The extended asynchronous switching model is formulated and necessary definitions are given in Section II. The main results are then discussed in Section III, with an example given in Section IV. Section V concludes this paper. Some technical details are included in the Appendix.

Notations: Throughout this paper, \mathbb{R}_+ and \mathbb{N}_+ denote the set of nonnegative real numbers and positive integer, respectively. \mathbb{R}^n and $\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ denote, respectively, *n*-dimensional real space and $n \times m$ -dimensional real matrix space. For vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, |x| denotes the Euclidean norm $|x| = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2)^{1/2}$. Let $\tau \ge 0$ and $C([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the family of all continuous \mathbb{R}^n -valued functions φ on $[-\tau, 0]$ with the norm $\|\varphi\| =$ sup{ $|\varphi(\theta)| : -\tau \le \theta \le 0$ }. Let $C^b_{\mathcal{F}_0}([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ be the family of all \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable bounded $C([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ -valued random variables $\xi = \{\xi(\theta) : -\tau \le \theta \le 0\}$. For p > 0 and $t \ge 0$, let $L^p_{\mathcal{F}}([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the family of all \mathcal{F}_t -measurable $C([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ -valued random variables $\phi = \{\phi(\theta) : -\tau \leq 0\}$ $\theta \leq 0$ such that $\sup_{-\tau < \theta < 0} \mathbb{E}\{|\phi(\theta)|^p\} < \infty$. The transpose of vectors and matrices and the complement of set are denoted by a superscript T and C, respectively. $C^{i,k}$ denotes all the functions with *i*th continuously differentiable first component and kth continuously differentiable second component.

II. PROBLEM FORMULATION AND PRELIMINARIES

Consider the following SSNLRS:

$$dx = f(t, x_t, \nu, \sigma)dt + g(t, x_t, \nu, \sigma)dw$$
(1)

with the initial state $x_0 = \{x(\theta) : -\tau \le \theta \le 0\} = \{\xi \in C^b_{\mathcal{F}_0}([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^n), \text{ where } x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ is the state vector,} x_t = \{x(t+\theta) : -\tau \le \theta \le 0\} \text{ is } C([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^n)\text{-valued} random process, <math>v(t) \in \mathcal{L}^l_\infty$ is the control input. \mathcal{L}^l_∞ denotes the set of all the measurable and locally essentially bounded

input $\nu(t) \in \mathbb{R}^l$ on $[t_0, \infty)$ with the norm

$$\begin{cases} \|\nu(s)\| = \inf_{\mathcal{A} \subset \Omega, \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A})=0} \sup\{|\nu(\omega, s)| : \omega \in \Omega \setminus \mathcal{A}\} \\ \|\nu(s)\|_{[t_0,\infty)} = \sup_{s \in [t_0,\infty)} \|\nu(s)\| \end{cases}$$
(2)

w(t) is the *m*-dimensional Brownian motion defined on the complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \ge t_0}, \mathbb{P})$, with Ω being a sample space, \mathcal{F} being a σ -field, $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \ge t_0}$ being a filtration and \mathbb{P} being a probability measure. $\sigma : [t_0, \infty) \to \mathcal{S}$ (\mathcal{S} is the index set, and may be infinite) is the switching law and is right hand continuous and piecewise constant on $t. \sigma(t)$ discussed in this paper is time dependent, and the corresponding switching times sequence is $\{t_l\}_{l\ge 0}$. The i_l th subsystems will be activated at time interval $[t_l, t_{l+1})$. Specially, when $t = t_0$ (t_0 is the initial time), suppose $\sigma_0 = \sigma(t_0) = i_0 \in \mathcal{S}$. Moreover, $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times C([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathcal{S} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \times C([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathcal{S} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ are continuous with respect to t, x(t), u(t), and satisfy uniformly locally Lipschitz condition with respect to x(t), u(t), and for any $i \in \mathcal{S}, f(t, 0, 0, i) \equiv 0, g(t, 0, 0, i) \equiv 0$.

Given that the true switching signal is not available for the controller design in practical, in what follows, we are concerned with the stability analysis of systems (1) under the following state feedback control law:

$$\nu = h(t, x_t, u, \sigma') \tag{3}$$

where $\sigma' = \sigma'(t)$ is the detected switching signal, $u(t) \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}^{k}$ is the reference input, and $h : \mathbb{R}_{+} \times C([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^{n}) \times \mathbb{R}^{k} \times S \to \mathbb{R}^{l}$ is measurable function with $h(t, 0, 0, i) \equiv 0$, for any $i \in S$. For convenience, denote

$$\overline{f}(t, x_t, u, \sigma, \sigma') = f(t, x_t, h(t, x_t, u, \sigma'), \sigma)$$

$$\overline{g}(t, x_t, u, \sigma, \sigma') = g(t, x_t, h(t, x_t, u, \sigma'), \sigma)$$

and further, let $\overline{f}_{ij}(t, x_t, u(t))$ and $\overline{g}_{ij}(t, x_t, u(t))$ denote the corresponding function $\overline{f}(t, x_t, u(t), i, j)$ and $\overline{g}(t, x_t, u(t), i, j)$, respectively. Moreover, we assume that $\overline{f}, \overline{g}$ satisfy the local Lipschitz condition and the linear growth condition, hence, for the closed-loop system

$$dx = \bar{f}(t, x_t, u, \sigma, \sigma')dt + \bar{g}(t, x_t, u, \sigma, \sigma')dw$$
(4)

and there exists a unique solution on $t \ge -\tau$.

In practical control systems, due to the existence of environmental noises, disturbances, and small modeling uncertainties, nonzero detection delays, and false alarms may occur randomly in the mode detection of the plant. In this case, as in [28], the following model is assumed to describe the characteristic of $\sigma'(t)$.

Assumption 1 [28]: The values of $\sigma(t)$ and $\sigma'(t)$ can be divided into two cases: the quiescent case $\sigma(t) = \sigma'(t) = i$ and the transient case $\sigma(t) = i$, $\sigma'(t) = j$, $j \neq i$. In the first case, only the true modes switches and false alarms may occur. The latter case corresponds to the detection delay or to the recovery from a false alarm. The only possible switch is thus a switch of $\sigma'(t)$ from j to i, corresponding to the end of the transient, and this switch occurs on the average after $1/\pi_{ji}^0$ seconds. In mathematics, the cases are listed below.

Case I: When $\sigma(t)$ has switched from *i* to *j*, $\sigma'(t)$ follows with a delay *d* that is an independent exponentially distributed

1094



Fig. 1. Redefinition of $\{t'_l\}_{l\geq 0}$ on interval $[t_i, t_{i+1})$.

random variable with mean $1/\pi_{ii}^0$. This is written as

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sigma'(t+\Delta) = j | \sigma'(s) = i, s \in [t^*, t], \sigma(t^*) = j, \sigma(t^{*-}) = i\right\}$$
$$= \begin{cases} \pi^0_{ij} \Delta + o(\Delta), \ i \neq j \\ 1 + \pi^0_{ii} \Delta + o(\Delta), \ i = j. \end{cases}$$
(5)

The entries of the matrix, $\Pi^0 = [\pi_{ij}^0]_{N \times N} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$, are evaluated from observed sample paths, and

$$\pi_{ii}^{0} = -\sum_{j \neq i} \pi_{ij}^{0}, \left(\pi_{ij}^{0} \ge 0, i \ne j\right).$$
(6)

Case II: When $\sigma(t)$ remains at *i*, $\sigma'(t)$ has transitioned from *i* to *j* occasionally. An independent exponential distribution with rate π_{ii}^1 is again assumed

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sigma'(t+\Delta) = j | \sigma'(s) = i, s \in [t^*, t]\right\} = \begin{cases} \pi_{ij}^1 \Delta + o(\Delta), & i \neq j \\ 1 + \pi_{ii}^1 \Delta + o(\Delta), & i = j \end{cases}$$
(7)

with a matrix, $\Pi^1 = [\pi_{ij}^1]_{N \times N} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$, of false alarm rates, which can also be valued from observed sample paths, and

$$\pi_{ii}^{1} = -\sum_{j \neq i} \pi_{ij}^{1}, \left(\pi_{ij}^{1} \ge 0, i \ne j\right).$$
(8)

According to [28], Property 1 then follows from Assumption 1.

Property 1: According to Assumption 1, the greater π_{ij}^0 is the faster detection response speed is, and the smaller π_{ij}^1 is the less of the number of false alarms is, where $i, j \in S$. When $\pi_{ij}^0 \to \infty$ and $\pi_{ij}^1 = 0$, the detection for the actual switching signal is perfect.

From Assumption 1, under any time interval $[t_m, t_{m+1})$, where $t_m, t_{m+1} \in \{t_l\}_{l\geq 0}$, the number of switches of $\sigma'(t)$ can only be the following two cases: 2k + 1 and 2k, where $k \geq 0$ is the switch number of $\sigma'(t)$ which caused by false alarm. We assume $\sigma(t_m) = i_m$. In the first case, $\sigma'(t)$ will first switch to i_m with responding to transient case, i.e., detection delay process and the detection delay does not equal to zero. After $\sigma'(t) = i_m, t \in (t_m, t_{m+1})$, if a false alarm occurs, the next switch is that $\sigma'(t)$ switch to i_m (recovery from the false alarm mode). Thus, before time t_{m+1} , the total switch number of $\sigma'(t)$ is 2k + 1. On the other hand, if the detection delay is zero, i.e., $\sigma'(t_m) = \sigma(t_m) = i_m$, then total switch number of $\sigma'(t)$ on $[t_m, t_{m+1})$ will be 2k.

Let $\{t'_l\}_{l\geq 0}$ denote the switching time sequence of $\sigma'(t)$, with $t_0 = t'_0$ and $\sigma'(t'_0) = \sigma(t_0)$. For any $i \in \mathbb{N}_+ \cup \{0\}$, let $N(t_{i+1}, t_i)$ denote the number of switches of $\sigma'(t)$ on $[t_i, t_{i+1})$. Moreover, as shown in Fig. 1, we subdivide the sequence $\{t'_l\}_{l\geq 0}$ into a sequence of subsets, i.e., $\{t'_l\}_{l\geq 0} = \bigcup_i \{t'_{i1}, t'_{i2}, \dots, t'_{iN(t_{i+1}, t_i)}\}$,

such that $\{t'_{i1}, t'_{i2}, \ldots, t'_{iN(t_{i+1},t_i)}\} \subset [t_i, t_{i+1})$. In the sequel, we assume that $\sigma(t_i^-) = \sigma'(t_i^-)$ and $\sigma'(t) = \sigma(t) = \sigma(t_0) = i_0$, for any $t \in (t_0, t_1)$. Note that, for any $i \in \mathbb{N}_+ \cup \{0\}$, $\sigma(t_i^-) = \sigma'(t_i^-)$ means that the switches between the subsystems of switched system occur in the case that the controller and the system operate synchronously. The hypothesis is commonly employed in the context in asynchronous switching systems, in which there always exists the period that the controller and the system run synchronously [15]–[19].

For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, if the detection delay is nonzero, then the controller mode is strictly synchronous with the system in the following time intervals: $[t'_{i1}, t'_{i2})$, $[t'_{i3}, t'_{i4}), \ldots, [t'_{iN(t_{i+1},t_i)}, t_{i+1})$. For simplicity, we define $T_s(t_0, t_1) = [t_0, t_1), T_s(t_i, t_{i+1}) = \bigcup_{j=1,3,\ldots,N(t_{i+1},t_i)} [t'_{ij}, t'_{i(j+1)})$, and $T_a(t_i, t_{i+1}) = \bigcup_{j=0,2,\ldots,N(t_{i+1},t_i)-1} [t'_{ij}, t'_{i(j+1)})$, where $t'_{i(N(t_{i+1},t_i)+1)} = t_{i+1}, t'_{i0} = t_i$. However, if the detection delay is equal to zero, then the controller mode is strictly synchronous with the system on the following time intervals: $[t_i, t'_{i1}), [t'_{i2}, t'_{i3}), \ldots, [t'_{iN(t_{i+1},t_i)}, t_{i+1})$. In this case, $T_s(t_i, t_{i+1}) = \bigcup_{j=0,2,\ldots,N(t_{i+1},t_i)} [t'_{ij}, t'_{i(j+1)})$ and $T_a(t_i, t_{i+1}) = \bigcup_{j=1,3,\ldots,N(t_{i+1},t_i)-1} [t'_{ij}, t'_{i(j+1)})$. Clearly, it has $T_s(t_i, t_{i+1}) \cap T_a(t_i, t_{i+1}) = \varnothing, [t_i, t_{i+1}) = T_a(t_i, t_{i+1}) \bigcup$ $T_s(t_i, t_{i+1})$. In the sequel, we let $T_a(t-s)$ denote the length of $T_a(t, s)$, for any $t \ge s \ge t_0$.

To simplify the expression, the next definitions are needed. Definition 1 [30]: A function $\alpha : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is said to belong to class \mathcal{K} if α is continuous, strictly increasing and $\alpha(0) = 0$. If α is also unbounded, then it is of class \mathcal{K}_{∞} . Class $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{K}_{\infty}$ and $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{K}_{\infty}$ functions are the two subsets of class \mathcal{K}_{∞} functions that are concave and convex, respectively. A function $\beta : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is of class $\mathcal{K}\mathcal{L}$, if $\beta(\cdot, t)$ is of class \mathcal{K} in the first argument for each fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\beta(s, t)$ decreases to 0 as $t \to +\infty$ for each fixed $s \ge 0$.

Definition 2 [1]: For any given constants $\tau^* > 0$ and N_0 , let $N_{\sigma}(t, s)$ denote the switch number of $\sigma(t)$ in [s, t), for any $t > s \ge t_0$, and let

$$S[\tau^*, N_0] = \left\{ \sigma(\cdot) : N_\sigma(t, s) \le N_0 + \frac{t-s}{\tau^*}, \ \forall s \in [t_0, t) \right\}.$$

Then τ^* is called the average dwell time of $S[\tau^*, N_0]$ and $\tau_{\sigma} \triangleq \sup_{\substack{t \ge t_0 \ t > s \ge t_0}} t - s/N_{\sigma}(t, s) - N_0$ is called the average dwell time of $\sigma(\cdot)$.

Definition 3 [32]: The solution of system (4) is said to be SISS, if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist \mathcal{KL} function $\beta(\cdot, \cdot)$ and \mathcal{K} function $\gamma(\cdot)$, such that

$$\mathbb{P}\{|x(t)| < \beta(\mathbb{E}\{\|\xi\|\}, t - t_0) + \gamma(\|u\|_{[t_0, t]})\} \ge 1 - \varepsilon$$
 (9)

for any $t \ge t_0$ and $\xi \in C^b_{\mathcal{F}_0}([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^n)$, where $||u||_{[t_0,t)} = \sup_{s \in [t_0,t)} ||u(s)||$. Specifically, if $u \equiv 0$, under the hypothesis (9), the system is globally asymptotically stable in probability (GASiP).

Definition 4 [31]: The solution of system (4) is said to be pth moment input-to-state stable (pth moment ISS), if for all $\xi \in C^b_{\mathcal{F}_0}([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $i_0 \in S$, there exist \mathcal{KL} function $\beta(\cdot, \cdot)$ and \mathcal{K} function $\gamma(\cdot)$, such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{|x(t)|^{p}\right\} \leq \beta\left(\mathbb{E}\left\{\|\xi\|^{p}\right\}, t - t_{0}\right) + \gamma\left(\|u\|_{[t_{0},t)}\right), \ \forall t \geq t_{0}. \ (10)$$

III. STABILITY ANALYSIS UNDER EXTENDED ASYNCHRONOUS SWITCHING

Based on the above developed extended asynchronous switching model, this section presents the stability criteria for the SSNLRS under extended asynchronous switching controller. By using Razumikhin-type theorem and average dwell-time approach, we give the sufficient conditions for internal stability, i.e., GASiP and *p*th moment exponentially stability. Using the internal stability criteria, then the external stability criteria are developed, including SISS and *p*th moment ISS.

For the sake of simplify expression, denote $\bar{\pi}^0 \triangleq \max_{i \in S} \{ |\pi_{ii}^0| \}, \tilde{\pi}^0 \triangleq \max_{i,j \in S} \{ \pi_{ij}^0 \}, \bar{\pi}^1 \triangleq \max_{i \in S} \{ |\pi_{ii}^1| \}, \tilde{\pi}^1 \triangleq \max_{i,j \in S} \{ \pi_{ij}^1 \}, \underline{\pi}^0 \triangleq \min_{i \in S} \{ |\pi_{ii}^0| \}, \text{ and } \underline{\pi}^1 \triangleq \min_{i \in S} \{ |\pi_{ii}^1| \}.$ Theorem 1: Let $\varsigma = \sup_{l \in \mathbb{N}_+} \{ t_l - t_{l-1} \} < \infty$. If there exist

Theorem 1: Let $\varsigma = \sup_{l \in \mathbb{N}_+} \{t_l - t_{l-1}\} < \infty$. If there exist functions $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{K}_{\infty}, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{C}\mathcal{K}_{\infty}, \mu \ge 1, q > 1, \lambda_1 > 0, \lambda_2 \ge 0$, and $V(x(t), t, \sigma(t), \sigma'(t)) \in C^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times S \times S; \mathbb{R}_+)$, such that:

1) for all $t \ge t_0 - \tau$

$$\alpha_1(|x(t)|) \le V(x(t), t, \sigma(t), \sigma'(t)) \le \alpha_2(|x(t)|) \quad (11)$$

2) there exists $\bar{\lambda}_1 \in (0, \lambda_1)$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\mathcal{L}V\left(\varphi(\theta), t, \sigma(t), \sigma'(t)\right)\right\} \leq \begin{cases} -\lambda_1 \mathbb{E}\left\{V\left(\varphi(0), t, \sigma(t), \sigma'(t)\right)\right\} \\ & \text{if } t \in T_s(t_l, t_{l+1}), \ l \in \mathbb{N}_+ \cup \{0\} \\ \lambda_2 \mathbb{E}\left\{V\left(\varphi(0), t, \sigma(t), \sigma'(t)\right)\right\} \\ & \text{if } t \in T_a(t_l, t_{l+1}), \ l \in \mathbb{N}_+ \end{cases}$$

$$(12)$$

provided those $\varphi \in L^p_{\mathcal{F}}([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying that

$$\min_{i,j\in\mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E}\{V(\varphi(\theta), t+\theta, i, j)\} \le q \mathbb{E}\{V(\varphi(0), t, \sigma(t), \sigma'(t))\}$$
(13)

where

$$e^{\bar{\lambda}_1 \tau} \le q \tag{14}$$

3) for any $i, j \ge 1$, the candidate function $V(x(t), t, \sigma(t), \sigma'(t))$ satisfies and

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{E}\left\{V\left(x\left(t'_{ij}\right), t'_{ij}, \sigma(t_{i}), \sigma'\left(t'_{ij}\right)\right)\right\} \\ \leq \mu \mathbb{E}\left\{V\left(x\left(t'_{ij}\right), t'_{ij}, \sigma(t_{i}), \sigma'\left(t'_{i(j-1)}\right)\right)\right\} \\ \mathbb{E}\left\{V(x(t_{i}), t_{i}, \sigma(t_{i}), \sigma'(t_{i}))\right\} \\ \leq \mu \mathbb{E}\left\{V\left(x(t_{i}), t_{i}, \sigma(t_{i-1}), \sigma'\left(t'_{(i-1)N(t_{i}, t_{i-1})}\right)\right)\right\} \end{cases}$$
(15)

4) it exists $\bar{\lambda}_2 \in (\lambda_2, \infty)$ such that

$$\bar{\lambda}_1 + \bar{\lambda}_2 - \underline{\pi}^0 < 0 \tag{16}$$

for any $i \ge 1$ and $j = 1, 2, ..., N(t_{i+1}, t_i)$, with $t'_{i0} = t_i$, $t'_{0N(t_1, t_0)} = t'_{00} = t_0$, further, the average dwell time τ^*

satisfies $\tau^* > \ln(\mu M)/\bar{\lambda}_1$, where

$$M = (1+\mu) \left[\frac{-\bar{\pi}^{0}}{\bar{\lambda}_{1} + \bar{\lambda}_{2} - \underline{\pi}^{0}} + \left(\frac{-\bar{\pi}^{0}}{\bar{\lambda}_{1} + \bar{\lambda}_{2} - \underline{\pi}^{0}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \times e^{\left[\left(\mu^{2} - \frac{\bar{\pi}^{0}}{\bar{\lambda}_{1} + \bar{\lambda}_{2} - \underline{\pi}^{0}} \right) (N-1) - 2 \right] \bar{\pi}^{1} \varsigma}$$
(17)

then system (4) with $u \equiv 0$ is GASiP. *Proof:* Please see Appendix B.

Remark 1: In Theorem 1, the assumptions (11) and (13)–(15) are common conditions in the stability analysis of switched stochastic time-delay systems [35]. The condition (12) is also commonly employed in the asynchronous switched deterministic systems According to [18], while (16) is set to restrict the conditions that the system (4) need to be satisfied under the existence of detection delay and false alarm.

Remark 2: For the detection of $\sigma(t)$, consider the following two special cases. First, if Π^0 and Π^1 are set to ∞ and zero, respectively, there is no detection delay and no false alarm in the mode detection, the closed-loop systems is a synchronous case. In this case, the conditions (12) and (16) hold almost surely. On the other hand, if Π^1 is set to zero while $\Pi^0 < \infty$, the situation corresponds to only a detection delay, and $\underline{\pi}^0 < \infty$, $\overline{\pi}^1 = 0$. Hypothesis (16) restricts the necessary condition that the closed-loop systems need to be satisfied under this case.

Remark 3: According to (16) and (17) and the average dwell time τ^* in Theorem 1, one can see that the stability of the extended asynchronous switching systems can be guaranteed by a sufficient small mismatched time interval and a sufficient large average dwell time. Given that the mismatched time interval in the developed extended asynchronous switching framework is usually caused by: the size of detection delay, the frequency of occurrence from false alarms, and the length of the recovery time from a false mode, it is further explained as follows.

Case I: For any fixed $\bar{\lambda}_1$, μ , ς , and $\tilde{\pi}^1$, a larger instability margin λ_2 (or $\bar{\lambda}_2$) will be compensated by a larger $\underline{\pi}^0$ and/or a larger average dwell time τ^* . Since $\underline{\pi}^0 = \min_{i \in S} \{|\pi_{ii}^0|\}$, a larger $\underline{\pi}^0$ can be obtained by increasing $|\pi_{ii}^0|$ or decreasing π_{ii}^0 . The larger $|\pi_{ii}^0|$ is the smaller of the detection delay for mode *i* is. Thus, when π_{ii}^0 increases, if $\bar{\pi}^0 = \max_{i,s \in S} \{\pi_{ij}^0\}$ is nonincrease, a lager instability margin can be compensated by a small detection delay; however, if $\bar{\pi}^0$ is also increased, a larger average dwell time τ^* will work, and the larger instability margin will be compensated by a smaller detection delay and a larger average dwell time τ^* .

Case II: When $\bar{\lambda}_1$, μ , and ς are fixed, and we assume $\underline{\pi}^0$ and $\bar{\pi}^0$ do not change through a fixed constant π_{ij}^0 $(i, j \in S)$, then if the instability margin λ_2 (or $\bar{\lambda}_2$) increases, M will also increase. In this case, the larger M can be compensated by a smaller $\bar{\pi}^1$ or a larger average dwell time τ^* . Note that, a fixed constant π_{ij}^0 $(i, j \in S)$ means that the time costs of the detection of true modes and the recovery from a false mode do not change on the average. Given that $\bar{\pi}^1 = \max_{i,j \in S} \{\pi_{ij}^1\}, \bar{\pi}^1$ can be reduced by decreasing π_{ii}^1 . Then the number of false alarms

will decrease, and consequently, the mismatched time from false alarms will also decrease, which can well compensate the impact of larger instability margin.

Using the GASiP criterion in Theorem 1, one can further obtain the following SISS conditions.

Theorem 2: Let $\varsigma = \sup_{l \in \mathbb{N}_+} \{t_l - t_{l-1}\} < \infty$. If there exist functions $\gamma \in \mathcal{K}, \alpha_1 \in \mathcal{VK}_{\infty}, \alpha_2 \in \mathcal{CK}_{\infty}, \mu \ge 1$, $q > 1, \lambda_1 > 0, \lambda_2 \ge 0, \overline{\lambda}_1 \in (0, \lambda_1), \overline{\lambda}_2 \in (\lambda_2, \infty)$, and $V(x(t), t, \sigma(t), \sigma'(t)) \in C^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times S \times S; \mathbb{R}_+)$, such that hypotheses 1), 3), and 4) in Theorem 1 hold, and

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(0)| &\geq \gamma \left(\|u\|_{[t_0,\infty)} \right) \Rightarrow \mathbb{E} \left\{ \mathcal{L}V \left(\varphi(\theta), t, \sigma(t), \sigma'(t) \right) \right\} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} -\lambda_1 \mathbb{E} \left\{ V \left(\varphi(0), t, \sigma(t), \sigma'(t) \right) \right\} \\ t \in T_s(t_l, t_{l+1}), \ l \in \mathbb{N}_+ \cup \{0\} \\ \lambda_2 \mathbb{E} \left\{ V \left(\varphi(0), t, \sigma(t), \sigma'(t) \right) \right\} \\ t \in T_a(t_l, t_{l+1}), \ l \in \mathbb{N}_+ \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$(18)$$

provided those $\varphi \in L^p_{\mathcal{F}}([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying that

$$\min_{i,j\in\mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E}\{V(\varphi(\theta), t+\theta, i, j)\} \le q \mathbb{E}\{V(\varphi(0), t, \sigma(t), \sigma'(t))\}$$
(19)

where

$$e^{\bar{\lambda}_1 \tau} \le q. \tag{20}$$

1

Then, system (4) is SISS.

Proof: The proof is similar to [27, Th. 4.1] and is thus omitted.

Remark 4: Despite the similarities of Theorem 2 in this paper and [27, Th. 4.1], the following essential differences are observed.

- Due to the existence of mismatched time interval which caused by detection delays and false alarms, after the state trajectory enters the set B, there still exists a chance to leave it. This complicates the system and is different from the normal asynchronous case in [27].
- The system in this paper is deterministic switched system while the system in [27] is Markovian switching.
- 3) Kang *et al.* [27] considered only the detection delay while this paper considered both nonzero detection delay and false alarm. The inclusion of false alarm makes the extended asynchronous switching model in this paper more practical.

Corollary 1: Under the assumptions in Theorem 1 (Theorem 2), if functions α_1 , α_2 satisfy $\alpha_1(s) = c_1 s^p$, $\alpha_2(s) = c_2 s^p$, where c_1 and c_2 are positive numbers, then system (4) is *p*th moment exponentially stable with $u \equiv 0$ (*p*th moment ISS), for all $\tau^* > \ln(\mu M)/\bar{\lambda}_1$.

IV. SIMULATION STUDY

In this section, the general Razumikhin-type theorems established in the previous section will be extended to deal with the input-to-state stability of switched stochastic nonlinear delay system.

For a simulation purpose, consider a special class of switched stochastic perturbed system

$$dx = [A_{\sigma}x + B_{\sigma}v]dt + g(t, x(t - d(t)), \sigma)dw \qquad (21)$$

where $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \times S \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is unknown nonlinear function satisfying the local Lipschitz condition and the linear growth condition, and $||g(t, x(t - d(t)), i)||_2 \le ||U_i x(t - d(t))||_2$, $|| \cdot ||_2$ denotes the two-norm, for any $i \in S$. U_i is known real constant matrix, and $0 \le d(t) \le \tau$. Design $v(t) = K_{\sigma'(t)} x(t) + u(t)$. Then, the closed-loop system is

$$dx = [A_{\sigma}x + B_{\sigma}K_{\sigma'}x + B_{\sigma}u]dt + g(t, x(t - d(t)), \sigma)dw.$$
(22)

From Corollary 1, one has the following corollary. *Corollary 2:* System (22) is the second moment ISS for all $\tau^* > \ln(\mu M)/\hat{\lambda}_1$, where

$$M = (1+\mu) \left[\frac{-\bar{\pi}^{0}}{\hat{\lambda}_{1} + \hat{\lambda}_{2} - \underline{\pi}^{0}} + \left(\frac{-\bar{\pi}^{0}}{\hat{\lambda}_{1} + \hat{\lambda}_{2} - \underline{\pi}^{0}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \times e^{\left[\left(\mu^{2} - \frac{\bar{\pi}^{0}}{\hat{\lambda}_{1} + \hat{\lambda}_{2} - \underline{\pi}^{0}} \right) (N-1) - 2 \right] \bar{\pi}^{1} \varsigma}$$
(23)

if for all $i, j \in S$, there exist $X_{ij} = X_{ij}^T > 0$, $\lambda_1 > 0$, $\lambda_2 \ge 0$, $\lambda_{10} \ge 0$, and $\lambda_{20} \ge 0$ such that (24)–(27) hold, i.e., (24), as shown at the bottom of the next page

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\lambda_{10}X_{ii} & X_{ii}U_i^T \\ * & -\frac{2}{\beta_1}I \end{bmatrix} \le 0$$
 (25)

$$\begin{bmatrix} -\lambda_{20}X_{ij} & X_{ij}U_i^T \\ * & -\frac{2}{\beta_2}I \end{bmatrix} \le 0$$
(27)

where $\Pi_1 = A_i X_{ii} + X_{ii} A_i^T + Y_{ii}^T B_i^T + B_i Y_{ii} + \varepsilon_1 B_i B_i^T + (\lambda_1 + \pi_{ii}^1) X_{ii}, \Pi_2 = X_{ij} A_i^T + A_i X_{ij} + Y_{ij}^T B_i^T + B_i Y_{ij} + \varepsilon_2 B_i B_i^T - (\lambda_2 + \pi_{ji}^0) X_{ij}, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$; there exist q > 1, such that $\overline{\lambda}_1 = \lambda_1 - q \lambda_{10} > 0$; and

$$e^{\hat{\lambda}_1 \tau} < q \tag{28}$$

$$\hat{\lambda}_1 + \hat{\lambda}_2 - \underline{\pi}^0 < 0 \tag{29}$$

where $\bar{\lambda}_2 = \lambda_2 + q\lambda_{20}$, $\hat{\lambda}_1 \in (0, \bar{\lambda}_1)$, and $\hat{\lambda}_2 \in (\bar{\lambda}_2, \infty)$. *Proof:* See Appendix C.

Example 1: Take the following parameters for system (22):

$$A_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, B_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, U_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$A_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, B_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, U_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and $g(t, \bar{x}(t), 1) = [0.1 \cos(t)x_1(t - d(t)), 0]^T$, $g(t, \bar{x}(t), 2) = [0.1 \sin(t)x_2(t - d(t)), 0.1x_1(t - d(t))]^T$, where $\bar{x}(t) = x(t - d(t))d(t) = 0.2 \sin(t)$ with $\tau = 0.2$, and

$$\Pi^{0} = \begin{bmatrix} -100 & 100\\ 80 & -80 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Pi^{1} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.2 & 0.2\\ 0.2 & -0.2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then conditions (24)–(27) can be satisfied with $\lambda_1 = 10$, $\lambda_2 = 5.9182$, $\lambda_{10} = 0.1$, $\lambda_{20} = 0.1$, $\varepsilon_1 = 7$, $\varepsilon_2 = 2$, $\mu = 1.38$,



Fig. 2. Brownian motion w(t).

 $\beta_1 = 1.6956, \beta_2 = 1.5955$, and, moreover

$$P_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4491 & -0.0001 \\ -0.0001 & 0.4484 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5877 & -0.0005 \\ -0.0005 & 0.5877 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5877 & -0.0005 \\ -0.0005 & 0.5880 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$P_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.4483 & -0.0034 \\ -0.0034 & 0.4509 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$K_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 28.9391 & 7.3873 \\ 7.4055 & 9.2980 \end{bmatrix}, K_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 5.8994 & -3.4292 \\ -3.3107 & -6.9532 \end{bmatrix}$$

and Q = diag[0.1873, 0.1873].

Take q = 7.5, then $\bar{\lambda}_1 = 9.25$ and $\bar{\lambda}_2 = 6.6682$, and further, conditions (28) and (29) can be satisfied with $\hat{\lambda}_1 = 9.1575$, $\hat{\lambda}_2 = 6.7349$, $\bar{\pi}^0 = 100$, $\tilde{\pi}^1 = 0.2$, $\underline{\pi}^1 = 0.2$, and $\underline{\pi}^0 = 80$. Take $\varsigma = 10$, then M = 125.0134 and $\tau^* > 0.5624$ s. Then, system (22) is the second moment ISS with average dwell time $\tau^* = 0.6$ s.

The first set of simulations is to verify the necessity of performing the research on extended asynchronous switching. The simulation results are shown in Figs. 2–5. Among them, Fig. 2 shows the response trajectory of the Brownian motion w(t), while Fig. 3 gives the state response curves of open-loop system (21) with the true switching signal given in Fig. 4(a),



Fig. 3. State response curves of open-loop system.



Fig. 4. State response curves of closed-loop system under normal asynchronous switching controller with $u = [2e^{-0.5t}, 4e^{-0.8t}]^T$. (a) Switching signal. (b) State.

and obviously the open-loop system is unstable. On the other hand, Figs. 4(b) and 5(b) present, respectively, the state trajectories of closed-loop system under normal asynchronous switching controller and extended asynchronous switching controller (note that, it does not satisfy the conditions of Corollary 2, because the average dwell time of the true switching is set to be less than 0.5624 s), where Figs. 4(a) and 5(a)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Pi_{1} & X_{ii} & X_{ii} & \cdots & X_{ii} & X_{ii} & \cdots & X_{ii} & X_{ii} \\ * & -\frac{1}{\pi_{i1}^{1}}X_{i1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & -\frac{1}{\pi_{i2}^{1}}X_{i2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ * & * & * & * & * & -\frac{1}{\pi_{i(i-1)}^{1}}X_{i(i-1)} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & -\frac{1}{\pi_{i(i+1)}^{1}}X_{i(i+1)} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & -\frac{1}{\pi_{i(i+1)}^{1}}X_{i(i+1)} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & -\frac{1}{\pi_{iN}^{1}}X_{iN} & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & -\frac{1}{\pi_{iN}^{1}}X_{iN} & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & -\frac{1}{\pi_{iN}^{1}}X_{iN} & 0 \\ * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & * & -\frac{1}{\pi_{iN}^{1}}X_{iN} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \le 0$$



Fig. 5. State response curves of closed-loop system under extended asynchronous switching controller with $u = [2e^{-0.5t}, 4e^{-0.8t}]^T$. (a) Switching signal. (b) State.



Fig. 6. Switching signal $\sigma(t)$ and the detected $\sigma'(t)$.



Fig. 7. Open-loop state trajectory.

give the switching signals including the true one and the detected one, respectively, with the same true switching signal. Comparing the two results, one can find that the false alarm has a great influence on the control performance, which further verifies the necessity and importance of the extended asynchronous switching system.



Fig. 8. Closed-loop state trajectory with $u = [0, 0]^T$ under strictly synchronous switching controller.



Fig. 9. Closed-loop state trajectory with $u = [0.5, 0.5]^T$ under strictly synchronous switching controller.



Fig. 10. Closed-loop state trajectory with $u = [2e^{-0.5t}, 4e^{-0.8t}]^T$ under strictly synchronous switching controller.

To demonstrate the effectiveness of the results, the stability under several switching cases are considered, which include the strictly synchronous switching, the desired extended asynchronous switching and the undesired extended asynchronous switching. The simulation results are shown in Figs. 6–16, with the Brownian motion w(t) given in Fig. 2. Among them, Fig. 6 shows the true switching signal and the detected switching signal in the presence of detection delay and false



Fig. 11. Closed-loop state trajectory with $u = [0, 0]^T$ under extended asynchronous switching controller which satisfies the desired conditions.



Fig. 12. Closed-loop state trajectory with $u = [0.5, 0.5]^T$ under extended asynchronous switching controller which satisfies the desired conditions.



Fig. 13. Closed-loop state trajectory with $u = [2e^{-0.5t}, 4e^{-0.8t}]^T$ under extended asynchronous switching controller which satisfies the desired conditions.

alarm, where $\sigma(t)$ is the true switching signal with the desired average dwell time $\tau^* = 0.6$, $\sigma'(t)$ with modes 1.05 and 2.05 is the detected switching signal which refers to the desired detected signal (the detection parameters are $\Pi^0 = [-100, 100; 80, -80]$, $\Pi^1 = [-0.2, 0.2; 0.2, -0.2]$, all the conditions in Corollary 2 are satisfied), while $\sigma'(t)$ with modes 1.1 and 2.1 is the undesired detected one.



Fig. 14. Closed-loop state trajectory with $u = [0, 0]^T$ under extended asynchronous switching controller which does not satisfy the desired conditions.



Fig. 15. Closed-loop state trajectory with $u = [0.5, 0.5]^T$ under extended asynchronous switching controller which does not satisfy the desired conditions.

Here, we take $\Pi^0 = \Pi^1 = [-10, 10; 10, -10]$, thus (29) is not satisfied. Note that both mode 1.05 (mode 2.05) and mode 1.1 (mode 2.1) are referred the mode 1 (mode 2), and these different values are to make clearer illustration. The rest of the figures, Figs. 7-16, show the state trajectories with initial data $x_0 = [\pm 1, \pm 0.8]^T$. First, Fig. 7 shows the open-loop state trajectory under the true switching signal $\sigma(t)$ in Fig. 6. Obviously, the open-loop system is unstable. Figs. 8-16 show the response curve of the state trajectories when the average dwell time of the true switching signal is the desired one. Among them, Figs. 8-10 show the stability under strictly synchronous switching controller, with reference input $u = [0, 0]^T$, $u = [0.5, 0.5]^T$, and $u = [2e^{-0.5t}, 4e^{-0.8t}]^T$, respectively. Similarly, Figs. 11-13 show the stability under the desired extended asynchronous switching controller, while Figs. 14-16 are performed under the undesired asynchronous switching. From Figs. 8-10, the closed-loop system under strictly synchronous switching controller is stable, in other words, one can claim that the designed controller with considering both detection delay and false alarm (or the designed controller under extended asynchronous controller) are also suitable for the synchronous case.



Fig. 16. Closed-loop state trajectory with $u = [2e^{-0.5t}, 4e^{-0.8t}]^T$ under extended asynchronous switching controller which does not satisfy the desired conditions.

From Figs. 11–13, one can find that the designed controller based on the proposed theory can stabilize the switched system with both nonzero detection delay and false alarm in detection. Compared to Figs. 8–10, one can also find that the asynchronous phenomenon caused by the nonzero detection delay and false alarm has a great impact on the stability. This point can also be further verified by Figs. 14–16. From the results in above three cases, one may infer that the stability of extended asynchronous switching can be guaranteed by a sufficient small mismatched time interval, it is in accordance with Remark 3.

V. CONCLUSION

The input-to-state stability of a class of SSNLRS under extended asynchronous switching is investigated. In such systems the switchings of the system modes and the desired mode-dependent controllers are asynchronous due to both detection delays and false alarms, which feature is different from normal asynchronous switching. Through some simplification, an extended asynchronous switching model is developed. Then, based on Razumikhin-type theorem incorporated with average dwell-time approach, the sufficient criteria for asymptotic stability as well as input-to-state stability are proposed. It is shown that the stability of such systems can be guaranteed by a sufficient small mismatched time interval and a sufficient large average dwell time. Finally, the importance and effectiveness of the stability criteria for the extended asynchronous switching system are demonstrated by simulation studies. In the future the developed results are expected to extend to systems with nonexponential distributed detection delays, false alarms, and nonsynchronous controller.

APPENDIX A

SOME LEMMAS

Lemma 1: For any given $V(x(t), t, \sigma(t), \sigma'(t)) \in C^{2,1}$ $(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times S \times S; \mathbb{R}_+)$, associated with system (4), the diffusion operator $\mathcal{L}V$, from $C([-\tau, 0]; \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times S \times S$ to \mathbb{R} , can be described as follows. *Case 1:* When $\sigma = \sigma' = i$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}V(x_{t}, t, i, i) = V_{t}(x, t, i, i) + V_{x}(x, t, i, i)\bar{f}_{ii}(t, x_{t}, u) + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \pi_{ik}^{1} V(x, t, i, k) + \frac{1}{2} \text{trace} \Big[\bar{g}_{ii}^{T}(t, x_{t}, u) V_{xx}(x, t, i, i) \bar{g}_{ii}(t, x_{t}, u) \Big].$$
(30)

Case 2: When $\sigma' = j$, $\sigma = i$, and $j \neq i$, we also have

$$\mathcal{L}V(x_{t}, t, i, j) = V_{t}(x, t, i, j) + V_{x}(x, t, i, j)\bar{f}_{ij}(t, x_{t}, u) + \pi_{ji}^{0}V(x, t, i, i) - \pi_{ji}^{0}V(x, t, i, j) + \frac{1}{2}\text{trace}\Big[\bar{g}_{ij}^{T}(t, x_{t}, u)V_{xx}(x, t, i, j)\bar{g}_{ij}(t, x_{t}, u)\Big]$$
(31)

where $i, j \in S$.

Proof: The proof can be got directly from [28] and [33]. *Lemma 2:* Let $V = e^{\lambda t} V(x, t, \sigma, \sigma')$ for all $t \ge 0$ and $\lambda \ge 0$, then

$$D^{+}\mathbb{E}\{V\} = \mathbb{E}\{\mathcal{L}V\} = \lambda e^{\lambda t}\mathbb{E}\{V(x, t, \sigma, \sigma')\} + e^{\lambda t}\mathbb{E}\{\mathcal{L}V(x_t, t, \sigma, \sigma')\}$$
(32)

for all $t \ge 0$, where $D^+ \mathbb{E}\{V\} = \limsup_{dt\to 0^+} \mathbb{E}\{V(t+dt)\} - \mathbb{E}\{V(t)\}/dt$.

Proof: It follows directly from the constructive proof given in [27, Proof of Th. 7.3].

Lemma 3 [34]: Let $\sigma(t)$ denote a continuous-time Markov process with transition rate matrix $[\pi_{ij}]_{N \times N} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$, then

$$\mathbb{P}\{N_{\sigma}(t,0)=k\} \le e^{-\tilde{\pi}t} \frac{(\bar{\pi}t)^k}{k!}$$

for any $k \ge 0$, where $\bar{\pi} \triangleq \max_{i \in S} \{|\pi_{ii}|\}, \tilde{\pi} \triangleq \max_{i,j \in S} \{\pi_{ij}\},$ and $N_{\sigma}(t, 0)$ denotes the number of switches of $\sigma(t)$ on the time-interval [0, t].

Lemma 4: For any $i \ge 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\{N(t_{i+1}, t_i) = k\} \leq \begin{cases} e^{-\tilde{\pi}^1(t_{i+1}-t_i)\frac{\left(\tilde{\pi}^1(t_{i+1}-t_i)\right)^{\frac{k-1}{2}}}{\frac{k-1}{2}!}} \\ k \text{ is an odd number} \\ e^{-\tilde{\pi}^1(t_{i+1}-t_i)\frac{\left(\tilde{\pi}^1(t_{i+1}-t_i)\right)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{\frac{k}{2}!}} \\ k \text{ is an even number} \end{cases}$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_+ \cup \{0\}$.

Proof: Let $N_1(t_{i+1}, t_i)$ denote the numbers of switches from false alarm on time interval $[t_i, t_{i+1})$. Next, we will complete the proof by considering the following two cases: $N(t_{i+1}, t_i) = 2k + 1$ and $N(t_{i+1}, t_i) = 2k$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}_+ \cup \{0\}$. From the Assumption 1, one can obtain $N_1(t_{i+1}, t_i) = 2k + 1 - 1/2 = k$ in the first case, while $N_1(t_{i+1}, t_i) = 2k/2 = k$ in the second case. Then, similar to Lemma 3, it follows:

$$\mathbb{P}\{N(t_{i+1}, t_i) = 2k+1\} \le \mathbb{P}\left\{N_1(t_{i+1}, t_i) = \frac{2k+1-1}{2}\right\}$$
$$\le e^{-\tilde{\pi}^1(t_{i+1}-t_i)} \frac{\left(\bar{\pi}^1(t_{i+1}-t_i)\right)^k}{k!}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}\{N(t_{i+1}, t_i) = 2k\} \le \mathbb{P}\left\{N_1(t_{i+1}, t_i) = \frac{2k}{2}\right\}$$
$$\le e^{-\tilde{\pi}^1(t_{i+1} - t_i)} \frac{\left(\tilde{\pi}^1(t_{i+1} - t_i)\right)^k}{k!}.$$

Thus, we complete the proof.

Lemma 5: For every $i \ge 0$, the moment generating function $\mathbb{E}\{e^{sN(t_{i+1},t_i)}\}$ of $N(t_{i+1},t_i)$ satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{sN(t_{i+1},t_i)}\right\} \le (1+e^s)e^{(e^{2s}\bar{\pi}^{-1}-\tilde{\pi}^{-1})(t_{i+1}-t_i)}$$

for any $s \ge 0$.

Proof: Based on Lemma 4, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{sN(t_{i+1},t_i)}\right\} = \sum_{k=1,3,5,\dots} e^{sk} \mathbb{P}\{N(t_{i+1},t_i) = k\} \\ + \sum_{k=0,2,4,\dots} e^{sk} \mathbb{P}\{N(t_{i+1},t_i) = k\} \\ \leq \sum_{k=1,3,5,\dots} e^{sk} e^{-\tilde{\pi}^1(t_{i+1}-t_i)} \frac{\left(\bar{\pi}^1(t_{i+1}-t_i)\right)^{\frac{k-1}{2}}}{\frac{k-1}{2}!} \\ + \sum_{k=0,2,4,\dots} e^{sk} e^{-\tilde{\pi}^1(t_{i+1}-t_i)} \frac{\left(\bar{\pi}^1(t_{i+1}-t_i)\right)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{\frac{k}{2}!} \\ = \sum_{k=0,1,2,\dots} e^{s(2k+1)} e^{-\tilde{\pi}^1(t_{i+1}-t_i)} \frac{\left(\bar{\pi}^1(t_{i+1}-t_i)\right)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{k!} \\ + \sum_{k=0,1,2,\dots} e^{2sk} e^{-\tilde{\pi}^1(t_{i+1}-t_i)} \frac{\left(\bar{\pi}^1(t_{i+1}-t_i)\right)^{\frac{k}{2}}}{k!} \\ = (1+e^s) e^{\left(e^{2s}\bar{\pi}^1-\tilde{\pi}^1\right)(t_{i+1}-t_i)}.$$

Thus, we complete the proof.

Remark 5: Following the proof of Lemma 5, it follows:

$$\mathbb{E}\{N(t_{i+1},t_i)\} \le \left(1+4\bar{\pi}^1\varsigma\right)e^{\bar{\pi}^1\varsigma}$$

where $\varsigma = \sup_{l \in \mathbb{N}_+} \{t_l - t_{l-1}\}.$

APPENDIX B

Proof of Theorem 1

Proof: According to (32) in Lemma 2, it has

$$D^{+}\mathbb{E}\left\{V\left(x,t,\sigma,\sigma'\right)\right\} = \mathbb{E}\left\{\mathcal{L}V\left(x_{t},t,\sigma,\sigma'\right)\right\}$$
(33)

for all $t \in T_s(t_l, t_{l+1}) \cup T_a(t_l, t_{l+1}), \ l \in \mathbb{N}_+$.

On the one hand, from (11), using Jensen's inequality, it holds

$$\mathbb{E}\{V(x, t, i_0, i_0)\} = \mathbb{E}\{V(x, t, \sigma, \sigma')\}$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\{\alpha_2(|x|)\} \leq \alpha_2(\mathbb{E}\{\|\xi\|\})$$

for any $t \in [t_0 - \tau, t_0]$.

In the following, we prove that when $t \in [t_0, t_1)$:

$$\mathbb{E}\{V(x, t, i_0, i_0)\} \le \alpha_2(\mathbb{E}\{\|\xi\|\})e^{-\lambda_1(t-t_0)}.$$
(34)

Suppose (34) is not true, i.e., there exists some $t \in (t_0, t_1)$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\{V(x(t), t, i_0, i_0)\} > \alpha_2(\mathbb{E}\{\|\xi\|\})e^{-\lambda_1(t-t_0)}.$$

Let $t^* = \inf\{t \in (t_0, t_1) : \mathbb{E}\{V(x(t), t, i_0, i_0)\} > \alpha_2(\mathbb{E}\{\|\xi\|\})e^{-\bar{\lambda}_1(t-t_0)}\}$. By the continuity of $V(x(t), t, i_0, i_0)$ and x(t) on $[t_0, t_1)$, we have $t^* \in [t_0, t_1)$ and $\mathbb{E}\{V(x(t^*), t^*, i_0, i_0)\} = \alpha_2(\mathbb{E}\{\|\xi\|\})e^{-\bar{\lambda}_1(t^*-t_0)}$. Further, there exists a sequence $\{\tilde{t}_n\}$ ($\tilde{t}_n \in (t^*, t_1)$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$) with $\lim_{n\to\infty} \tilde{t}_n = t^*$, such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{V\left(x\left(\tilde{t}_{n}\right),\tilde{t}_{n}\right),i_{0},i_{0}\right\}>\alpha_{2}(\mathbb{E}\{\|\xi\|\})e^{-\tilde{\lambda}_{1}\left(\tilde{t}_{n}-t_{0}\right)}.$$
 (35)

Then, from the definition of t^* , we have

$$\mathbb{E}\{V(x(t^* + \theta), t^* + \theta, i_0, i_0)\} \le e^{-\bar{\lambda}_1 \theta} \mathbb{E}\{V(x(t^*), t^*, i_0, i_0)\} \\ \le q \mathbb{E}\{V(x(t^*), t^*, i_0, i_0)\}$$

and further

$$\min_{i,j\in\mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E}\left\{V\left(x\left(t^*+\theta\right), t^*+\theta, i, j\right)\right\} \le q\mathbb{E}\left\{V\left(x\left(t^*\right), t^*, i_0, i_0\right)\right\}$$

for any $\theta \in [-\tau, 0]$. Then, based on (12) and (13), it holds

$$D^{+}\mathbb{E}\left\{V(x(t^{*}), t^{*}, i_{0}, i_{0})\right\} \leq -\lambda_{1}\mathbb{E}\left\{V(x(t^{*}), t^{*}, i_{0}, i_{0})\right\}.$$
 (36)

Without loss of generality, it has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{D}^+ \mathbb{E} \big\{ V\big(x\big(t^*\big), t^*, i_0, i_0 \big) \big\} &\leq -\lambda_1 \mathbb{E} \big\{ V\big(x\big(t^*\big), t^*, i_0, i_0 \big) \\ &< -\bar{\lambda}_1 \mathbb{E} \big\{ V\big(x\big(t^*\big), t^*, i_0, i_0 \big) \big\}. \end{aligned}$$

For h > 0 which is sufficiently small, when $t \in [t^*, t^* + h]$, it follows:

$$D^{+}\mathbb{E}\{V(x(t), t, i_{0}, i_{0})\} \le -\bar{\lambda}_{1}\mathbb{E}\{V(x(t), t, i_{0}, i_{0})\}$$

which means

$$\mathbb{E}\{V(x(t^*+h), t^*+h, i_0, i_0)\} \le \mathbb{E}\{V(x(t^*), t^*, i_0, i_0)\}e^{-\bar{\lambda}_1 h}$$

and it is a contradiction of (35). Thus (34) holds.

Combining the continuity of function $V(x(t), t, i_0, i_0)$ and (15), we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{V(x(t_1), t_1, \sigma(t_1), \sigma'(t_1))\right\} \le \mu \mathbb{E}\{V(x(t_1), t_1, i_0, i_0)\} \le \mu \alpha_2(\mathbb{E}\{\|\xi\|\})e^{-\bar{\lambda}_1(t_1 - t_0)}.$$
(37)

On the other hand, let $W(t, \bar{\sigma}(t)) = W(t, \sigma(t), \sigma'(t)) = e^{\bar{\lambda}_1 t} V(x(t), t, \sigma(t), \sigma'(t))$. Then, for any $l \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and $\theta \in [-\tau, 0]$, we have

$$\mathbf{D}^{+}\mathbb{E}\{W(t,\bar{\sigma}(t))\} \leq \begin{cases} -(\lambda_{1}-\bar{\lambda}_{1})\mathbb{E}\{W(t,\bar{\sigma}(t))\}\\ t\in T_{s}(t_{l},t_{l+1})\\ (\bar{\lambda}_{1}+\lambda_{2})\mathbb{E}\{W(t,\bar{\sigma}(t))\}\\ t\in T_{a}(t_{l},t_{l+1}) \end{cases}$$

whenever (13) holds.

For any $[s_1, s_2) \subset T_a(t_l, t_{l+1})$, we claim that when $t \in [s_1, s_2)$

$$\mathbb{E}\{W(t,\bar{\sigma}(t))\} \le e^{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)(t-s_1)}\mathbb{E}\{W(s_1,\bar{\sigma}(s_1))\}.$$
 (38)

Suppose (38) is not true, i.e., there exists some $t \in [s_1, s_2)$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\{W(t,\bar{\sigma}(t))\} > e^{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)(t-s_1)}\mathbb{E}\{W(s_1,\bar{\sigma}(s_1))\}.$$

Similarly, set $t^* = \inf\{t \in [s_1, s_2) : \mathbb{E}\{W(t, \bar{\sigma}(t))\} > \mathbb{E}\{W(s_1, \bar{\sigma}(s_1))\}e^{(\bar{\lambda}_1 + \bar{\lambda}_2)(t-s_1)}\}$, then

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{W(t^*, \bar{\sigma}(t^*))\right\} = \mathbb{E}\left\{W(s_1, \bar{\sigma}(s_1))\right\} e^{\left(\bar{\lambda}_1 + \bar{\lambda}_2\right)\left(t^* - s_1\right)}.$$

Moreover, there is a sequence $\{\tilde{t}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}_+} \in (t^*, s_2)$ with $\lim_{n\to\infty} \tilde{t}_n = t^*$ such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{W(\tilde{t}_{n}, \bar{\sigma}(\tilde{t}_{n}))\right\} > \mathbb{E}\left\{W(s_{1}, \bar{\sigma}(s_{1}))\right\}e^{(\bar{\lambda}_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{2})(\tilde{t}_{n}-s_{1})}$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left\{W(t^{*}, \bar{\sigma}(t^{*}))\right\}e^{(\bar{\lambda}_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{2})(\tilde{t}_{n}-t^{*})}.$$
 (39)

We further define $U(t) = e^{-(\bar{\lambda}_1 + \bar{\lambda}_2)t} W(t, \bar{\sigma}(t))$, then

$$D^{+}\mathbb{E}\{U(t)\} = -\lambda_{2}e^{-\lambda_{2}t}\mathbb{E}\{V(x(t), t, \bar{\sigma}(t))\}$$
$$+ e^{-\bar{\lambda}_{2}t}D^{+}\mathbb{E}\{V(x(t), t, \bar{\sigma}(t))\}.$$

From the definition of t^* , for any $\theta \in [-\tau, 0]$, it follows: $\mathbb{E}\left\{W(t^*, \bar{\sigma}(t^*))\right\}e^{(\bar{\lambda}_1 + \bar{\lambda}_2)\theta} = \mathbb{E}\{W(s_1, \bar{\sigma}(s_1))\}e^{(\bar{\lambda}_1 + \bar{\lambda}_2)(t^* + \theta - s_1)}$ $\geq \mathbb{E}\left\{W(t^* + \theta, \bar{\sigma}(t^* + \theta))\right\}$

which means

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{V(x(t^*+\theta), t^*+\theta, \bar{\sigma}(t^*+\theta))\right\}$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\left\{V(x(t^*), t^*, \bar{\sigma}(t^*))\right\}e^{\bar{\lambda}_2\theta} \leq \mathbb{E}\left\{V(x(t^*), t^*, \bar{\sigma}(t^*))\right\}$$

and further

$$\min_{i,j\in\mathcal{S}} \mathbb{E}\left\{V(x(t^*+\theta), t^*+\theta, i, j)\right\} \le q\mathbb{E}\left\{V(x(t^*), t^*, \bar{\sigma}(t^*))\right\}.$$

Then, from (12) and (13), we have

$$\mathbf{D}^{+}\mathbb{E}\left\{U(t^{*})\right\} \leq -(\bar{\lambda}_{2}-\lambda_{2})e^{-\bar{\lambda}_{2}t^{*}}\mathbb{E}\left\{V(x(t^{*}),t^{*},\bar{\sigma}(t^{*}))\right\}.$$

Without loss of generality, it follows:

$$\mathbf{D}^+ \mathbb{E}\big\{ U\big(t^*\big) \big\} < 0.$$

Moreover, there exists a positive number h which is sufficient small such that

$$D^+ \mathbb{E}\{U(t)\} \le 0, \ t \in [t^*, t^* + h].$$

It then follows:

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{W(t^*+h,\bar{\sigma}(t^*+h))\right\} \leq \mathbb{E}\left\{W(t^*,\bar{\sigma}(t^*))\right\}e^{(\bar{\lambda}_1+\bar{\lambda}_2)h}$$

which is a contradiction of (39). Thus, (38) is true.

Furthermore, when $t \in [s_1, s_2) \in T_s(t_l, t_{l+1})$, repeating a similar analysis [similar to the proof of (34)], one can obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\{W(t,\bar{\sigma}(t))\} \le \mathbb{E}\{W(s_1,\bar{\sigma}(s_1))\}.$$
(40)

Combining (38) and (40), if the detection delay is nonzero, it holds

$$\mathbb{E}\{W(t,\bar{\sigma}(t))\} \\
= \begin{cases} e^{(\bar{\lambda}_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{2})(t-t_{l})}\mathbb{E}\{W(t_{l},\bar{\sigma}(t_{l}))\}, & t \in [t_{l},t_{l1}') \\ \mathbb{E}\{W(t_{l1}',\bar{\sigma}(t_{l1}'))\}, & t \in [t_{l1}',t_{l2}') \\ e^{(\bar{\lambda}_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{2})(t-t_{l2}')}\mathbb{E}\{W(t_{l2}',\bar{\sigma}(t_{l2}'))\}, & t \in [t_{l2}',t_{l3}') \\ \mathbb{E}\{W(t_{l3}',\bar{\sigma}(t_{l3}'))\}, & t \in [t_{l3}',t_{l4}') \\ \cdots \\ e^{(\bar{\lambda}_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{2})\left(t-t_{l(N(t_{l+1},t_{l})-1)}',\bar{\sigma}\left(t_{l(N(t_{l+1},t_{l})-1)}',\bar{\tau}_{lN(t_{l+1},t_{l})}'\right)\right) \\ & \times \mathbb{E}\{W(t_{l(N(t_{l+1},t_{l})}',\bar{\sigma}\left(t_{lN(t_{l+1},t_{l})}',t_{l+1}')\right) \\ \mathbb{E}\{W(t_{lN(t_{l+1},t_{l})}',\bar{\sigma}\left(t_{lN(t_{l+1},t_{l})}',t_{l+1}')\right) \\ \end{cases}$$

$$(41)$$

and in this case, $N(t_{l+1}, t_l)$ is an even number. On the other hand, if the detection delay is equal to zero, it also has $\mathbb{E}\{W(t, \bar{\sigma}(t))\}$

$$\leq \begin{cases} \mathbb{E}\{W(t_{l},\bar{\sigma}(t_{l}))\}, & t \in [t_{l},t_{l1}') \\ e^{(\bar{\lambda}_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{2})(t-t_{l1}')}\mathbb{E}\{W(t_{l1}',\bar{\sigma}(t_{l1}'))\}, & t \in [t_{l1}',t_{l2}') \\ \mathbb{E}\{W(t_{l2}',\bar{\sigma}(t_{l2}'))\}, & t \in [t_{l2}',t_{l3}') \\ e^{(\bar{\lambda}_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{2})(t-t_{l3}')}\mathbb{E}\{W(t_{l3}',\bar{\sigma}(t_{l3}'))\}, & t \in [t_{l3}',t_{l4}') \\ \cdots \\ e^{(\bar{\lambda}_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{2})\left(t-t_{l(N(t_{l+1},t_{l})-1)},\bar{\sigma}(t_{l(N(t_{l+1},t_{l})-1)})\right) \\ & \times \mathbb{E}\{W(t_{l(N(t_{l+1},t_{l})-1)},\bar{\sigma}(t_{l(N(t_{l+1},t_{l})-1)}))\} \\ & t \in [t_{l(N(t_{l+1},t_{l})}),\bar{\sigma}(t_{lN(t_{l+1},t_{l})}))] \\ & \mathbb{E}\{W(t_{lN(t_{l+1},t_{l})}',\bar{\sigma}(t_{lN(t_{l+1},t_{l})}',t_{l+1})) \\ & t \in [t_{lN(t_{l+1},t_{l})}',t_{l+1}) \end{cases}$$

$$(42)$$

and in this case, $N(t_{l+1}, t_l)$ is an odd number.

Then, for any $t \in [t_l, t_{l+1})$, if $[t'_{lN(t,t_l)}, t'_{l(N(t,t_l)+1)}) \in T_s(t_l, t_{l+1})$, we can obtain

$$\begin{split} & \mathbb{E}\{W(t,\bar{\sigma}(t))\} \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}\Big\{W\Big(t_{lN(t,t_{l})}^{'},\bar{\sigma}\Big(t_{lN(t,t_{l})}^{'}\Big)\Big)\Big\} \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}\Big\{\mu W\Big(t_{lN(t,t_{l})}^{'},\bar{\sigma}\Big(t_{l(N(t,t_{l})-1)}^{'}\Big)\Big)\Big\} \\ & = \mathbb{E}\Big\{\mu^{N(t,t_{l})-N(t,t_{l})+1}\Big\}\mathbb{E}\Big\{W\Big(t_{lN(t,t_{l})}^{'},\bar{\sigma}\Big(t_{l(N(t,t_{l})-1)}^{'}\Big)\Big)\Big\} \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}\Big\{\mu^{N(t,t_{l})-N(t,t_{l})+1}\Big\}\mathbb{E}\Big\{e^{(\bar{\lambda}_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{2})\Big(t_{lN(t,t_{l})}^{'}-t_{l(N(t,t_{l})-1)}^{'}\Big)}\Big\} \end{split}$$

$$\times W\Big(t'_{l(N(t,t_{l})-1)}, \bar{\sigma}\Big(t'_{l(N(t,t_{l})-1)}\Big)\Big)\Big\}$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\Big\{\mu^{N(t,t_{l})-N(t,t_{l})+2}\Big\}\mathbb{E}\Big\{e^{\left(\bar{\lambda}_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{2}\right)\left(t'_{lN(t,t_{l})}-t'_{l(N(t,t_{l})-1)}\right)}\Big\}$$

$$\times \mathbb{E}\Big\{W\Big(t'_{l(N(t,t_{l})-1)}, \bar{\sigma}\left(t'_{l(N(t,t_{l})-2)}\right)\Big)\Big\}$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\Big\{\mu^{N(t,t_{l})-N(t,t_{l})+2}\Big\}\mathbb{E}\Big\{e^{\left(\bar{\lambda}_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{2}\right)\left(t'_{lN(t,t_{l})}-t'_{l(N(t,t_{l})-1)}\right)}\Big\}$$

$$\times \mathbb{E}\Big\{W\Big(t'_{l(N(t,t_{l})-2)}, \bar{\sigma}\left(t'_{l(N(t,t_{l})-2)}\right)\Big)\Big\}$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\Big\{\mu^{N(t,t_{l})-N(t,t_{l})+3}\Big\}\mathbb{E}\Big\{e^{\left(\bar{\lambda}_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{2}\right)\left(t'_{lN(t,t_{l})}-t'_{l(N(t,t_{l})-1)}\right)}\Big\}$$

$$\times \mathbb{E}\Big\{W\Big(t'_{l(N(t,t_{l})-2)}, \bar{\sigma}\left(t'_{l(N(t,t_{l})-3)}\right)\Big)\Big\}$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\Big\{\mu^{N(t,t_{l})-N(t,t_{l})+3}\Big\}\mathbb{E}\Big\{e^{\left(\bar{\lambda}_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{2}\right)\left(t'_{lN(t,t_{l})}-t'_{l(N(t,t_{l})-1)}\right)}$$

$$\times e^{\left(\bar{\lambda}_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{2}\right)\left(t'_{l(N(t,t_{l})-2)}-t'_{l(N(t,t_{l})-3)}\right)}\Big\}$$

$$\times \mathbb{E}\Big\{W\Big(t'_{l(N(t,t_{l})-3)}, \bar{\sigma}\left(t'_{l(N(t,t_{l})-3)}\right)\Big)\Big\}$$

$$\leq \cdots \\ \leq \mathbb{E} \Big\{ \mu^{N(t,t_l)} \Big\} \mathbb{E} \Big\{ e^{(\bar{\lambda}_1 + \bar{\lambda}_2)T_a(t-t_l)} \Big\} \mathbb{E} \{ W(t_l, \bar{\sigma}(t_l)) \}.$$

And similarly, if $[t'_{lN(t,t_l)}, t'_{l(N(t,t_l)+1)}) \in T_a(t_l, t_{l+1})$, it also follows that:

$$\mathbb{E}\{W(t,\bar{\sigma}(t))\} \leq \mathbb{E}\left\{\mu^{N(t,t_l)}\right\} \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{(\bar{\lambda}_1+\bar{\lambda}_2)T_a(t-t_l)}\right\} \mathbb{E}\{W(t_l,\bar{\sigma}(t_l))\}.$$

Then, without loss of generality, for any $t \in [t_l, t_{l+1})$, it holds

$$\mathbb{E}\{W(t,\bar{\sigma}(t))\}$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\left\{\mu^{N(t,t_l)}\right\} \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{\left(\bar{\lambda}_1+\bar{\lambda}_2\right)T_a(t-t_l)}\right\} \mathbb{E}\{W(t_l,\bar{\sigma}(t_l))\}$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}\left\{\mu^{N(t_{l+1},t_l)}\right\} \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{\left(\bar{\lambda}_1+\bar{\lambda}_2\right)T_a(t_{l+1}-t_l)}\right\} \mathbb{E}\{W(t_l,\bar{\sigma}(t_l))\}.$$
(43)

On the other hand, for any $l \ge 0$, it holds (44a) and (44b), as shown at the bottom of this page.

Since

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{\left(\bar{\lambda}_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{2}\right)\left(t_{j}^{\prime}-t_{j-1}^{\prime}\right)}\right\} \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\left(\bar{\lambda}_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{2}\right)t} \bar{\pi}^{0} e^{-\underline{\pi}^{0}t} dt$$
$$= \frac{-\bar{\pi}^{0}}{\bar{\lambda}_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{2}-\underline{\pi}^{0}}$$

then, based on Lemma 5, let $s = \ln \sqrt{-\bar{\pi}^0/\bar{\lambda}_1 + \bar{\lambda}_2 - \underline{\pi}^0}$, which means for any $t \ge t_1$ we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{(\tilde{\lambda}_{1}+\tilde{\lambda}_{2})T_{a}(t_{l+1}-t_{l})}\right\}$$

$$\leq \left\{\mathbb{E}\left\{\left(\frac{-\tilde{\pi}^{0}}{\tilde{\lambda}_{1}+\tilde{\lambda}_{2}-\underline{\pi}^{0}}\right)^{\frac{N(t_{l+1},t_{l})+1}{2}}\right\}\right\}$$

$$\leq \left\{\mathbb{E}\left\{\left(\frac{-\tilde{\pi}^{0}}{\tilde{\lambda}_{1}+\tilde{\lambda}_{2}-\underline{\pi}^{0}}\right)^{\frac{N(t_{l+1},t_{l})}{2}}\right\}$$

$$N(t_{l+1},t_{l}) \text{ is an even number}$$

$$\leq \left\{\left(\sqrt{K}_{1}+K_{1}\right)e^{(K_{1}\tilde{\pi}^{1}-\tilde{\pi}^{1})(t_{l+1}-t_{l})}$$

$$N(t_{l+1},t_{l}) \text{ is an odd number}$$

$$\left(1+\sqrt{K}_{1}\right)e^{(K_{1}\tilde{\pi}^{1}-\tilde{\pi}^{1})(t_{l+1}-t_{l})}$$

$$N(t_{l+1},t_{l}) \text{ is an even number}$$

$$(45)$$

and without loss of generality

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{(\bar{\lambda}_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{2})T_{a}(t_{l+1}-t_{l})}\right\} \leq K_{2}e^{(K_{1}\bar{\pi}^{1}-\tilde{\pi}^{1})(t_{l+1}-t_{l})}$$

where $K_1 = -\bar{\pi}^0/\bar{\lambda}_1 + \bar{\lambda}_2 - \underline{\pi}^0$ and $K_2 = K_1 + \sqrt{K_1} = \max\{\sqrt{K_1} + K_1, 1 + \sqrt{K_1}\}.$

In addition, if we let $s = \ln(\mu)$, utilizing Lemma 5 again, we can obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\mu^{N(t_{l+1},t_l)}\right\} \le (1+\mu)e^{\left(\mu^2\bar{\pi}^1 - \tilde{\pi}^1\right)(t_{l+1} - t_l)}.$$

Consequently, for any $t \in [t_l, t_l + 1)$, it has

$$\mathbb{E}\{W(t,\bar{\sigma}(t))\} \leq K_3 e^{k_1(t_{l+1}-t_l)} \mathbb{E}\{W(t_l,\bar{\sigma}(t_l))\}$$
$$\leq K_3 e^{\bar{k}_1(t_{l+1}-t_l)} \mathbb{E}\{W(t_l,\bar{\sigma}(t_l))\}$$
$$\leq M \mathbb{E}\{W(t_l,\bar{\sigma}(t_l))\}$$
(46)

where $K_3 = (1 + \mu)K_2$, $k_1 = (\mu^2 + K_1)\bar{\pi}^1 - 2\bar{\pi}^1$, $k_1 = [(\mu^2 + K_1)(N - 1) - 2]\bar{\pi}^1$, and $M = K_3 e^{\bar{k}_1 \zeta}$.

From (15), for any $t \ge t_1$, iterating (46) from l = 1 to $l = N_{\sigma}(t, t_1) + 1$, we can get

$$\mathbb{E}\{W(t,\bar{\sigma}(t))\} \leq M\mathbb{E}\{W(t_{N_{\sigma}(t,t_{1})+1},\bar{\sigma}(t_{N_{\sigma}(t,t_{1})+1}))\}$$

$$\leq \mu M^{2}\mathbb{E}\{W(t_{N_{\sigma}(t,t_{1})},\bar{\sigma}(t_{N_{\sigma}(t,t_{1})}))\}$$

$$\leq \mu^{2}M^{3}\mathbb{E}\{W(t_{N_{\sigma}(t,t_{1})-1},\bar{\sigma}(t_{N_{\sigma}(t,t_{1})-1}))\}$$

$$\leq \cdots$$

$$\leq \mu^{N_{\sigma}(t,t_{1})}M^{N_{\sigma}(t,t_{1})+1}\mathbb{E}\{W(t_{1},\bar{\sigma}(t_{1}))\}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{V(x(t), t, \sigma(t), \sigma'(t))\right\} \le \mu^{N_{\sigma}(t, t_{1})} M^{N_{\sigma}(t, t_{1})+1} e^{-\bar{\lambda}_{1}(t-t_{1})} \times \mathbb{E}\left\{V(x(t_{1}), t_{1}, \sigma(t_{1}), \sigma'(t_{1}))\right\}.$$
(47)

Combining (37) and (47), we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{V(x(t), t, \sigma(t), \sigma'(t))\right\}$$

$$\leq \mu^{N_{\sigma}(t,t_{1})+1} M^{N_{\sigma}(t,t_{1})+1} e^{-\bar{\lambda}_{1}(t-t_{0})} \alpha_{2}(\mathbb{E}\{\|\xi\|\})$$

$$= (\mu M)^{N_{\sigma}(t,t_{0})} e^{-\bar{\lambda}_{1}(t-t_{0})} \alpha_{2}(\mathbb{E}\{\|\xi\|\})$$

$$\leq (\mu M)^{N_{0}} e^{\left(-\bar{\lambda}_{1}+\frac{\ln(\mu M)}{\tau^{*}}\right)(t-t_{0})} \alpha_{2}(\mathbb{E}\{\|\xi\|\})$$

$$\triangleq \tilde{\beta}(\mathbb{E}\{\|\xi\|\}, t-t_{0})$$
(48)

for any $t \geq t_1$. Clearly, $\tilde{\beta}(\cdot, \cdot) \in \mathcal{KL}$ if and only if $\tau^* > \ln(\mu M)/\bar{\lambda}_1$. For any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, take $\bar{\beta} = \tilde{\beta}/\varepsilon \in \mathcal{KL}$. Obviously, (48) also holds for $t \in [t_0, t_1)$. Then, using Chebyshev's inequality and the above inequality, for all $t \ge t_0$

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{V\left(x(t), t, \sigma(t), \sigma'(t)\right) \ge \bar{\beta}(\mathbb{E}\{\|\xi\|\}, t-t_0)\right\}$$
$$\le \frac{\mathbb{E}\left\{V\left(x(t), t, \sigma(t), \sigma'(t)\right)\right\}}{\bar{\beta}(\mathbb{E}\{\|\xi\|\}, t-t_0)} < \varepsilon.$$

Define $\beta(r, s) = \alpha_1^{-1} \circ \overline{\beta}(r, s)$, then

$$\mathbb{P}\{|x(t)| < \beta(\mathbb{E}\{\|\xi\|\}, t-t_0)\} \ge 1-\varepsilon, \ \forall t \ge t_0$$

where $\beta(\cdot, \cdot) \in \mathcal{KL}$. Thus, we complete the proof.

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{(\bar{\lambda}_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{2})T_{a}(t_{l+1}-t_{l})}\right\} = \left\{\begin{array}{l} \mathbb{E}\left\{\underbrace{e^{(\bar{\lambda}_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{2})(t_{l1}'-t_{l0}')e^{(\bar{\lambda}_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{2})(t_{l3}'-t_{l2}')\dots e^{(\bar{\lambda}_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{2})\left(t_{l1}'-t_{l1}')^{-t_{l}'(N(t_{l+1},t_{l})-1)\right)}\right)}}{\frac{N(t_{l+1},t_{l})+1}{2}, N(t_{l+1},t_{l}) \text{ is an odd number}}\right\}$$
(44a)
$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\underbrace{e^{(\bar{\lambda}_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{2})(t_{l2}'-t_{l1}')e^{(\bar{\lambda}_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{2})(t_{l4}'-t_{l3}')\dots e^{(\bar{\lambda}_{1}+\bar{\lambda}_{2})\left(t_{lN}'(t_{l+1},t_{l})^{-t_{l}'(N(t_{l+1},t_{l})-1)\right)}\right)}}{\frac{N(t_{l+1},t_{l})}{2}, N(t_{l+1},t_{l}) \text{ is an even number}}\right\}$$
(44b)

APPENDIX C

PROOF OF COROLLARY 2

Proof: Take $V(x(t), t, i, j) = x^T(t)P_{ij}x(t)$, $P_{ij} = P_{ij}^T > 0$, for any $i, j \in S$. We assume that there exist $\beta_1 > 0$ and $\beta_2 > 0$ such that $P_{ii} < \beta_1 I$ and $P_{ij} < \beta_2 I$, where I is an identity matrix with appropriate dimension.

When $t \in T_s(t_l, t_{l+1})$, the system in (22) can be written as

$$dx = [(A_i + B_i K_i)x + B_i u]dt + g(t, x(t - d), i)dw.$$
(49)

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}V(x, y, t, i, i) &= 2x^{T}P_{ii}[(A_{i} + B_{i}K_{i})x + B_{i}u] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}g^{T}(t, y, i)P_{ii}g(t, y, i) + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \pi_{ik}^{1}x^{T}P_{ik}x \\ &\leq x^{T} \bigg[(A_{i} + B_{i}K_{i})^{T}P_{ii} + P_{ii}(A_{i} + B_{i}K_{i}) \\ &+ \varepsilon_{1}P_{ii}B_{i}B_{i}^{T}P_{ii} + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \pi_{ik}^{1}P_{ik} \bigg] x + \varepsilon_{1}^{-1}u^{T}u \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\beta_{1}g^{T}(t, y, i)g(t, y, i) \\ &\leq x^{T} \bigg[(A_{i} + B_{i}K_{i})^{T}P_{ii} + P_{ii}(A_{i} + B_{i}K_{i}) + \varepsilon_{1}^{-1} \|u\|_{2}^{2} \\ &+ \varepsilon_{1}P_{ii}B_{i}B_{i}^{T}P_{ii} + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \pi_{ik}^{1}P_{ik} \bigg] x + \frac{1}{2}\beta_{1}y^{T}U_{i}^{T}U_{i}y \end{aligned}$$

for some $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, where y(t) = x(t - d(t)), by considering the fact that $HFE + E^T F^T H^T \le \varepsilon H H^T + \varepsilon^{-1} E^T E$ where $\varepsilon > 0$, $FF^T \le I$.

When $t \in T_a(t_l, t_{l+1})$, the system in (22) can be written as

$$dx = \left[\left(A_i + B_i K_j \right) x + B_i u \right] dt + g(t, x(t-d), i) dw$$
 (50)

where $i, j \in S$, and $i \neq j$. Similarly, we have

$$\mathcal{L}V(x, y, t, i, j) \leq x^{T} \Big[(A_{i} + B_{i}K_{j})^{T} P_{ij} + P_{ij}(A_{i} + B_{i}K_{j}) \\ + \varepsilon_{2}P_{ij}B_{i}B_{i}^{T}P_{ij} + \pi_{ji}^{0}(P_{ii} - P_{ij}) \Big] x \\ + \frac{1}{2}\beta_{2}y^{T}U_{i}^{T}U_{i}y + \varepsilon_{2}^{-1} \|u\|_{2}^{2}$$

for some $\varepsilon_2 > 0$.

For any non-negative definite matrix Q, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x| &\geq \sqrt{\frac{1}{\varepsilon\lambda_{\min}(Q)}} \|u\|_{2} \\ &\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}V(x, y, t, i, i) \leq x^{T} \Phi_{ii}x + \frac{1}{2}\beta_{1}y^{T}U_{i}^{T}U_{i}y \\ \mathcal{L}V(x, y, t, i, j) \leq x^{T} \Phi_{ij}x + \frac{1}{2}\beta_{2}y^{T}U_{i}^{T}U_{i}y \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon = \min\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\}, \lambda_{\min}(Q)$ denotes the minimal eigenvalue of matrix Q, and

$$\Phi_{ii} = (A_i + B_i K_i)^T P_{ii} + P_{ii}(A_i + B_i K_i)$$
$$+ \varepsilon_1 P_{ii} B_i B_i^T P_{ii} + \sum_{k=1}^N \pi_{ik}^1 P_{ik} + Q$$

$$\Phi_{ij} = (A_i + B_i K_j)^T P_{ij} + P_{ij} (A_i + B_i K_j) + \varepsilon_2 P_{ij} B_i B_i^T P_{ij} + \pi_{ii}^0 (P_{ii} - P_{ij}) + Q_i$$

Further, if

$$\mathcal{L}V(x, y, t, i, i) \leq x^{T} \Phi_{ii} x + \frac{1}{2} \beta_{1} y^{T} U_{i}^{T} U_{i} y$$
$$\leq -\lambda_{1} x^{T} P_{ii} x + \lambda_{10} y^{T} P_{ii} y \qquad (51)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}V(x, y, t, i, j) \leq x^{T} \Phi_{ij} x + \frac{1}{2} \beta_{2} y^{T} U_{i}^{T} U_{i} y$$

$$\leq \lambda_{2} x^{T} P_{ij} x + \lambda_{20} y^{T} P_{ij} y \qquad (52)$$

and (15) and (19) hold, then based on Corollary 1, the conclusion is obtained.

On the other hand, the conditions in (51) and (52) can be transformed into

$$(A_{i} + B_{i}K_{i})^{T}P_{ii} + P_{ii}(A_{i} + B_{i}K_{i}) + \varepsilon_{1}P_{ii}B_{i}B_{i}^{T}P_{ii} + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \pi_{ik}^{1}P_{ik} + Q + \lambda_{1}P_{ii} \le 0$$
(53)

$$\frac{1}{2}\beta_1 U_i^T U_i - \lambda_{10} P_{ii} \le 0 \tag{54}$$

$$(A_i + B_i K_j)^T P_{ij} + P_{ij} (A_i + B_i K_j) + \varepsilon_2 P_{ij} B_i B_i^T P_{ij} + \pi_{ii}^0 (P_{ii} - P_{ij}) + Q - \lambda_2 P_{ij} \le 0$$
 (55)

$$\frac{1}{2}\beta_2 U_i^T U_i - \lambda_{20} P_{ij} \le 0 \tag{56}$$

where $i, j \in S$ and $i \neq j$. Using P_{ii}^{-1} to pre- and postmultiply the left term of (53) and (54), respectively, and denoting $X_{ii} = P_{ii}^{-1}$, $X_{ij} = P_{ij}^{-1}$, $Y_{ii} = K_i X_{ii}$, and $Y_{ij} = K_j X_{ij}$ yields (24) and (25).

Similarly, using P_{ij}^{-1} to pre- and post-multiply the left term of (55) and (56), respectively, yields (26) and (27). It is easy to get that (51) and (52) hold, if the linear matrix inequalities (24)–(27) hold. By taking proper λ_i and λ_{i0} , i = 1, 2, then there exists q such that (28) and (29) hold. And further, by solving (15) and (24)–(27), we can get the control gains K_i , $i \in S$.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Liberzon, *Switching in Systems and Control*. Boston, MA, USA: Birkhauser, 2003.
- [2] Z. D. Sun and S. S. Ge, Stability Theory of Switched Dynamical Systems. London, U.K.: Springer, 2011.
- [3] M. S. Mahmoud and P. Shi, *Methodologies for Control of Jumping Time-Delay Systems*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic, 2003.
- [4] B. Lu, F. Wu, and S. Kim, "Switching LPV control of an F-16 aircraft via controller state reset," *IEEE Trans. Control Syst. Technol.*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 267–277, Mar. 2006.
- [5] P. Sun and S. Y. Wang, "Redundant input guaranteed cost switched tracking control for omnidirectional rehabilitative training walker," *Int. J. Innov. Comput. Inf. Control*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 883–895, 2014.
- [6] Y. Q. Xia, L. Li, G. P. Liu, and P. Shi, "H_∞ predictive control of networked control systems," *Int. J. Control*, vol. 84, no. 6, pp. 1080–1097, 2011.
- [7] S. S. Ge, Z. D. Sun, and T. H. Lee, "Reachability and controllability of switched linear discrete-time systems," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 46, no. 9, pp. 1437–1441, Sep. 2001.
- [8] J. Lian, P. Shi, and Z. Feng, "Passivity and passification for a class of uncertain switched stochastic time-delay systems," *IEEE Trans. Cybern.*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 3–13, Feb. 2013.

- [9] M. S. Mahmoud, "Delay-dependent H_∞ filtering of a class of switched discrete-time state delay systems," *Signal Process.*, vol. 88, no. 11, pp. 2709–2719, 2008.
- [10] X. D. Zhao, L. X. Zhang, P. Shi, and M. Liu, "Stability of switched positive linear systems with average dwell time switching," *Automatica*, vol. 48, no. 6, pp. 1132–1137, 2012.
- [11] M. S. Mahmoud, "Switched discrete-time with time-varying delays: A generalized H₂ approach," *Comput. Math. Appl.*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 79–95, 2009.
- [12] X. D. Zhao, L. X. Zhang, P. Shi, and M. Liu, "Stability and stabilization of switched linear systems with mode-dependent average dwell time," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 57, no. 7, pp. 1809–1815, Jul. 2012.
- [13] V. Veselý and D. Rosinová, "Robust MPC controller design for switched systems using multi-parameter dependent Lyapunov function," *Int. J. Innov. Comput. Inf. Control*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 269–280, 2014.
- [14] Y. Q. Xia, M. Y. Fu, and G.-P. Liu, Analysis and Synthesis of Networked Control Systems. Berlin, Germany: Springer, 2011.
- [15] Z. R. Xiang, R. H. Wang, and Q. W. Chen, "Robust reliable stabilization of stochastic switched nonlinear systems under asynchronous switching," *Appl. Math. Comput.*, vol. 217, no. 19, pp. 7725–7736, 2011.
- [16] Z. R. Xiang, C. H. Qiao, and M. S. Mahmoud, "Robust H_∞ filtering for switched stochastic systems under asynchronous switching," *J. Franklin Inst. Eng. Appl. Math.*, vol. 349, no. 3, pp. 1213–1230, 2012.
- [17] Z. R. Xiang, R. H. Wang, and Q. W. Chen, "Robust stabilization of uncertain stochastic switched non-linear systems under asynchronous switching," *Mech. Eng. I, J. Syst. Control Eng.*, vol. 225, no. 1, pp. 8–20, 2011.
- [18] L. X. Zhang and H. J. Gao, "Asynchronously switched control of switched linear systems with average dwell time," *Automatica*, vol. 46, no. 5, pp. 953–958, 2010.
- [19] L. X. Zhang and P. Shi, "Stability, l₂-gain and asynchronous H_∞ control of discrete-time switched systems with average dwell time," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 54, no. 9, pp. 2192–2199, Sep. 2009.
- [20] L. Vu and K. A. Morgansen, "Stability of time-delay feedback switched linear systems," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 55, no. 10, pp. 2385–2390, Oct. 2010.
- [21] M. S. Mahmoud, Switched Time-Delay Systems: Stability and Control. New York, NY, USA: Springer, 2010.
- [22] B. Z. Wu, P. Shi, H. Su, and J. Chu, "Delay-dependent stability analysis for switched neural networks with time-varying delay," *IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern. B, Cybern.*, vol. 41, no. 6, pp. 1522–1530, Dec. 2011.
- [23] W. X. Xie, C. Y. Wen, and Z. G. Li, "Input-to-state stabilization of switched nonlinear systems," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 46, no. 7, pp. 1111–1116, Jul. 2001.
- [24] Z. R. Xiang, R. H. Wang, and Q. W. Chen, "Fault tolerant control of switched nonlinear systems with time delay under asynchronous switching," *Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci.*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 497–506, 2010.
- [25] Y. E. Wang, X. M. Sun, and P. Shi, "Input-to-state stability of switched nonlinear systems with time delays under asynchronous switching," *IEEE Trans. Cybern.*, vol. 43, no. 6, pp. 2261–2265, Dec. 2013.
- [26] M. S. Mahmoud and P. Shi, "Asynchronous H_{∞} filtering of discretetime switched systems," *Signal Process.*, vol. 92, no. 10, pp. 2356–2364, 2012.
- [27] Y. Kang, D. H. Zhai, G. P. Liu, Y. B. Zhao, and P. Zhao, "Stability analysis of a class of hybrid stochastic retarded systems under asynchronous switching," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 59, no. 6, pp. 1511–1523, Jun. 2014.
- [28] M. Marition, Jump Linear Systems in Automatic Control. New York, NY, USA: M. Dekker, 1990.
- [29] Y. Kang, J. F. Zhang, and S. S. Ge, "Robust output feedback H_{∞} control of uncertain Markovian jump systems with mode-dependent time-delays," *Int. J. Control*, vol. 81, no. 1, pp. 43–61, 2008.
- [30] H. K. Khalil, Nonlinear Systems, 3rd ed. Englewood Cliffs, NJ, USA: Prentice-Hall, 2002.
- [31] L. R. Huang and X. R. Mao, "On input-to-state stability of stochastic retarded systems with Markovian switching," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 54, no. 8, pp. 1898–1902, Aug. 2009.
- [32] S. J. Liu, J. F. Zhang, and Z. P. Jiang, "A notion of stochastic input-to-state stability and its application to stability of cascaded stochastic nonlinear systems," *Acta Math. Appl. Sin.-E.*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 141–156, 2008.
- [33] X. R. Mao, "Razumikhin-type theorems on exponential stability of stochastic functional differential equations," *Stoch. Process. Appl.*, vol. 65, no. 2, pp. 233–250, 1996.

- [34] D. Chatterjee and D. Liberzon, "On stability of randomly switched nonlinear systems," *IEEE Trans. Autom. Control*, vol. 52, no. 12, pp. 2390–2394, Dec. 2007.
- [35] X. T. Wu, L. T. Yan, W. B. Zhang, and Y. Tang, "Stability of stochastic nonlinear switched systems with average dwell time," *J. Phys. A, Math. Theor.*, vol. 45, no. 8, pp. 5207–5217, 2012.



Yu Kang (M'09–SM'14) received the Dr.Eng. degree in control theory and control engineering from the University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China, in 2005.

From 2005 to 2007, he was a Post-Doctoral Fellow with the Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China. He is currently a Professor with the Department of Automation, University of Science and Technology of China. His current research interests include adaptive/robust control, variable

structure control, mobile manipulator, and Markovian jump systems.



Di-Hua Zhai received the B.Eng. degree from Anhui University, Hefei, China, in 2010 and the M.Eng. degree from the University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, in 2013. He is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree with the School of Automation, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, China.

His current research interests include stability theory and control of teleoperation systems, switched systems, networked control systems, and stochastic systems.



Guo-Ping Liu (M'97–SM'99–F'11) received the B.Eng. and M.Eng. degrees in automation from Central South University, Changsha, China, in 1982 and 1985, respectively, and the Ph.D. degree in control engineering from the University of Manchester, Manchester, U.K., in 1992.

He has been a Professor with the University of South Wales, Cardiff, U.K., since 2004, a Hundred-Talent Program Visiting Professor with the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, since 2001, and a Changjiang Scholar Visiting Professor with the

Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, China, since 2008. He is a Chair of Control Engineering with the University of South Wales. His current research interests include networked control systems, nonlinear system identification and control, advanced control of industrial systems, and multiobjective optimization and control. He has authored/co-authored over 400 publications and eight books on control systems.

Prof. Liu is an Editor-in-Chief of the International Journal of Automation and Computing and IET Fellow.



Yun-Bo Zhao (M'10–SM'14) received the B.Sc. degree in mathematics from Shandong University, Jinan, China, in 2003, the M.Sc. degree in systems theory from the Institute of Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, in 2007, and the Ph.D. degree from the University of Glamorgan, Pontypridd, U.K., in 2008.

He is currently a Professor with the College of Information Engineering, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou, China. His current research interests include systems biology, networked control

systems, and Boolean networks.

Ę,	te		<u>st</u>		4	20	
elp Englis	Clariva l Analytics		→]	0	-	9	6
@zjut.edu.cn 😽 H	٥	ation Thresholds			1-6 of 6	Times Cited: 7	Times Cited: 2
ybzhao(Cita			b Documents	D DIFFUSION OF ICTIVE OXIDES FOR	CHED STOCHASTIC ID ASYNCHRONOUS 1105 MAY 2016
Science Indicators EndNote	ors	Field Baselines			 Customize 	SURFACE ADSORPTION AN LYSULFIDES ON NONCONDU LFUR BATTERY DESIGN WANG, JG; LIU, C; et.al COMMUN 7: - APR 2016 ilds: CHEMISTRY	O-STATE STABILITY OF SWIT SYSTEMS UNDER EXTENDE ; ZHAI, DH; LIU, GP; et.al FRANS CYBERN 46 (5): 1092-1 ids: COMPUTER SCIENCE
Citation Reports Essentia	cience Indicat			rch Field	Sort By Citations	1 BALANCING LITHIUM-PO LITHIUM-SU By: TAO, XY; Source: NAT Research Fie	2 ON INPUT-T NONLINEAR SWITCHING By: KANG, Y Source: IEE Research Fie
Web of Science InCites Journal	InCites Essential So	Indicators	Indicators Documents	Papers by Resea	Citation Trends	Documents Filter Results By () Add Filter » ZHEJIANG UNIV TECHNOL	Include Results For Hot Papers Clear Save Criteria

Clarivate Analytics	Citation Thresholds	≝ →]	M * 31 - 40 of 58 V V I		LIFE Times Cited: 32 IVE STRESS APR 2015	CHASTIC Times Cited: 29 HRONOUS SEI Hot 2016	ED DOUBLE Times Cited: 27 TWORK Research Front
nce Indicators	Field Baselines	h Field	Sort By Citations Customize Documents	By: YU, GC; WU, D; LI, Y; et.al Source: CHEM SCI 7 (5): 3017-3024 2016 Research Fields: CHEMISTRY	 34 THE TOXICITY OF CHLORPYRIFOS ON THE EARLY STAGE OF ZEBRAFISH: A SURVEY ON THE ENDPO DEVELOPMENT, LOCOMOTOR BEHAVIOR, OXIDATI AND IMMUNOTOXICITY By: JIN, YX; LIU, ZZ; PENG, T; et.al By: JIN, YX; LIU, ZZ; PENG, T; et.al Source: FISH SHELLFISH IMMUNOL 43 (2): 405-414 P Research Fleids: PLANT & ANIMAL SCIENCE 	 35 ON INPUT-TO-STATE STABILITY OF SWITCHED STO NONLINEAR SYSTEMS UNDER EXTENDED ASYNCI SWITCHING By: KANG, Y; ZHAI, DH; LIU, GP; et.al By: KANG, Y; ZHAI, DH; LIU, GP; et.al Source: IEE TRANS CYBERN 46 (5): 1092-1105 MAY 2 Research Fields: COMPUTER SCIENCE 	 36 SELF-ASSEMBLY OF SINGLE-LAYER COAL-LAYER HYDROXIDE NANOSHEETS ON 3D GRAPHENE NET USED AS HIGHLY EFFICIENT ELECTROCATALYST I USED AS HIGHLY FFICIENT ELECTROCATALYST I DYYGGN EVOLUTION REACTION By: PING, JF; WANG, YX; LU, QP; et.al Source: ADVAN MATER 28 (35): 7640-+ SEP 21 2016 Research Fields: MATERIALS SCIENCE
InCites Essential Scie	Indicators	Indicators Documents Paners by Researc	Citation Trends	Documents	Filter Results By () Add Filter * ZHEJIANG UNIV TECHNOL Include Results For Top Papers Clear Save Criteria		

1. On input-to-state stability of switched stochastic nonlinear systems under extended asynchronous switching

Accession number: 20152400939986

Authors: Kang, Yu (1, 2, 3); Zhai, Di-Hua (4); Zhao, Yun-Bo (5, 6, 7)

Author affiliation: (1) Department of Automation, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China; (2) Key Laboratory of Technology in Geo-Spatial Information Processing and Application System, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China; (3) State Key Laboratory of Fire Science, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China; (4) School of Automation, Key Laboratory of Intelligent Control and Decision of Complex Systems, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, China; (5) School of Engineering, University of South Wales, Cardiff, United Kingdom; (6) CTGT Centre, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin, China; (7) Department of Automation, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou, China Corresponding author: Kang, Yu(kangduyu@ustc.edu.cn) Source title: IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics Abbreviated source title: IEEE Trans. Cybern. **Volume:** 46 **Issue:** 5 Issue date: May 2016 Publication year: 2016 Pages: 1092-1105 Article number: 7120092 Language: English ISSN: 21682267 Document type: Journal article (JA) Publisher: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc. Abstract: An extended asynchronous switching model is investigated for a class of switched stochastic nonlinear retarded systems in the presence of both detection delay and false alarm, where the extended asynchronous switching is described by two independent and exponentially distributed stochastic processes, and further simplified as Markovian. Based on the Razumikhin-type theorem incorporated with average dwell-time approach, the sufficient criteria for global asymptotic stability in probability and stochastic input-to-state stability are given, whose importance and effectiveness are finally verified by numerical examples. © 2013 IEEE.

Number of references: 35

Main heading: Stochastic systems

Controlled terms: Alarm systems - Asymptotic stability - Random processes - Stability criteria - Stochastic models

Uncontrolled terms: Asynchronous switching - Average dwell-time approach - Detection delays - Global asymptotic stability - Input-to-state stability - Razumikhin-type theorem - Stochastic input-to-state stabilities - Stochastic nonlinear systems

DOI: 10.1109/TCYB.2015.2423553

Compendex references: YES

Database: Compendex

Compilation and indexing terms, Copyright 2017 Elsevier Inc. **Data Provider:** Engineering Village

20	17/11/22
	Close

Print

Web of Science Page 1 (Records 1 -- 1)

			◄ [1] ▶				
Record 1 of 1							
Title: On Input Author(s): Kar Source: IEEE 7	-to-State Stability of Switched S ng, Y (Kang, Yu); Zhai, DH (Zha FRANSACTIONS ON CYBERI	tochastic Nonlinear Syst ai, Di-Hua); Liu, GP (Liu NETICS Volume: 46 Is	tems Under Extended Asynchronous Switching a, Guo-Ping); Zhao, YB (Zhao, Yun-Bo) ssue: 5 Pages: 1092-1105 DOI: 10.1109/TCYB.2015.24	23553 Published: MAY 20	016		
Times Cited in	Web of Science Core Collection	on: 38	souce 5 Tuges. 1072 1105 DOI: 10.1107/101D.2015.21	25555 Tublished: 101111 20			
Total Times Ci	ited: 38						
Usage Count (Last 180 days): 6						
Usage Count ()	Since 2013): 28						
Abstract: An e	xtended asynchronous switching	g model is investigated for	or a class of switched stochastic nonlinear retarded system	is in the presence of both det	ection delay and		
false alarm, wh Markovian. Bas stochastic input	ere the extended asynchronous s sed on the Razumikhin-type theo -to-state stability are given, who	witching is described by prem incorporated with a prese importance and effec	two independent and exponentially distributed stochastic verage dwell-time approach, the sufficient criteria for glo tiveness are finally verified by numerical examples.	processes, and further simp bal asymptotic stability in pr	lified as obability and		
Accession Nun	nber: WOS:000374989300005						
Language: Eng	glish						
Document Typ	e: Article	vitabing input to state st	ability Markovian theory Derumikhin type theorems and	tahad staahastia nanlinaar r	standad systems		
(SSNLRS)	orus: Extended asynchronous sw	/itening; input-to-state st	abinty; Markovian meory; Kazumiknin-type meorem; swi	tioned stochastic nonlinear re	etarded systems		
KeyWords Plu	s: AVERAGE DWELL TIME; I	H-INFINITY CONTROL	L; LINEAR-SYSTEMS; DELAY SYSTEMS; STABILIZ	ATION			
 Addresses: [Kang, Yu] Univ Sci & Technol China, Dept Automat, Hefei 230027, Peoples R China. [Kang, Yu] Chinese Acad Sci, Key Lab Technol Geospatial Informat Proc & Applic, Beijing 100190, Peoples R China. [Kang, Yu] Univ Sci & Technol China, State Key Lab Fire Sci, Hefei 230027, Peoples R China. [Zhai, Di-Hua] Beijing Inst Technol, Sch Automat, Key Lab Intelligent Control & Decis Complex Syst, Beijing 100081, Peoples R China. [Liu, Guo-Ping] Univ South Wales, Sch Engn, Cardiff CF37 1DL, S Glam, Wales. [Liu, Guo-Ping] Harbin Inst Technol, CTGT Ctr, Harbin 150001, Peoples R China. [Zhao, Yun-Bo] Zhejiang Univ Technol, Dept Automat, Hangzhou 310023, Zhejiang, Peoples R China. Reprint Address: Kang, Y (reprint author), Univ Sci & Technol China, Dept Automat, Hefei 230027, Peoples R China. Kang, Y (reprint author), Chinese Acad Sci, Key Lab Technol Geospatial Informat Proc & Applic, Beijing 100190, Peoples R China. Kang, Y (reprint author), Univ Sci & Technol China, Dept Automat, Hefei 230027, Peoples R China. Kang, Y (reprint author), Univ Sci & Technol China, Dept Automat, Hefei 230027, Peoples R China. Kang, Y (reprint author), Univ Sci & Technol Geospatial Informat Proc & Applic, Beijing 100190, Peoples R China. Kang, Y (reprint author), Univ Sci & Technol China, State Key Lab Fire Sci, Hefei 230027, Peoples R China. E-mail Addresses: kangduyu@ustc.edu.cn 							
Author	ResearcherID Number	ORCID Number]				
Liu, Cuo Ding	0.2511.2014	0000 0002 0600 2206					
Publisher: IEF	E-INST ELECTRICAL ELECT	RONICS ENGINEERS	INC				
Publisher Add	ress: 445 HOES LANE, PISCA	TAWAY, NJ 08855-4141	USA				
Web of Science	e Categories: Computer Science	e, Artificial Intelligence;	Computer Science, Cybernetics				
Research Area	s: Computer Science						
IDS Number:	DK5VQ						
ISSN: 2168-22	67						
29-char Source	275 Abbrev • IEEE T CVBERNET	TICS					
ISO Source At	obrev.: IEEE T. Cybern.						
Source Item Pa	age Count: 14						
Funding:							
Funding Age	nev			Grant Number			
National Natu	rol Science Equadation of Ch	ino		61400207			
National Natu	ral Science Foundation of Ch	lina		61304048			
				61174061			
Scientific Res	earch Staring Foundation for	the Returned Oversea	as Chinese Scholars, Ministry of Education of China				
National High	-Tech Research and Develop	ment Program of Chin	a (863 Program)	2014AA06A503			
Youth Innovat	ion Promotion Association, C	hinese Academy of So	ciences				
Youth Top-No	ton Talent Support Program						
This work was by the Scientif Research and Academy of S by Associate F	supported in part by the Nat fic Research Staring Foundat Development Program of Ch ciences, in part by the Youth Editor P. Shi. (Corresponding	ional Natural Science ion for the Returned O nina (863 Program) und Top-Notch Talent Sup author: Yu Kang.)	Foundation of China under Grant 61422307, Grant 6 verseas Chinese Scholars, Ministry of Education of der Grant 2014AA06A503, in part by the Youth Innov port Program, and in part by the 1000-Talent Youth F	1304048, and Grant 6117 China, in part by the Natic vation Promotion Associat Program. This paper was r	74061, in part onal High-Tech ion, Chinese recommended		
Open Access: 1	No						
ESI Highly Cit	ted Paper: Y						
ESI Hot Paper	": Y						
Output Date: 2	2017-11-22		Wah of Saianaa				
Close			Page 1 (Records 1 1)		Print		
© 2017 CL	ARIVATE ANALYTICS TER	MS OF USE PRIVA	CY POLICY FEEDBACK				